THE NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY

EIGHTEENTH ROUND: JUNE 1963—MAY 1964

NUMBER 169

TABLES WITH NOTES ON HOUSING CONDITION OF SCHEDULED TRIBE HOUSEHOLDS OF UNION TERRITORIES OF MANIPUR AND TRIPURA



Issued by

THE CABINET SECRETARIAT: GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

ACKNOWBEDGEMENT

The report has been finalised by B. R. Panesar, A. K. Roy and P. C. Kundu were associated in the ork relating to the preparation of report.

Supervision of computing, punching and machine tabulation was entrusted to H. B. Chaudhuri, Palit, Rajen Roy, S. N. Das, N. K. Dey, B. L. Banerjee respectively.

The design of the survey was prepared by the Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta.

The primary data were collected by the Directorate of the National Sample Survey, Government f India.

CONTENTS

										PAGE
CHAPTER	Оме	: Introduction	•		• ,	•	•	•	•	1
	Two	: Summary of Results	•	•			•	•	•	3
Appendix	۸:	Statistical Tables with Explanatory not	les on ta	ables a	ınd lis	t of t	ables			5
	B :	Sampling design and method of estimat	ion		•			•	•	39
	C :	Extracts from the Instructions to field	vorkers		•			•	•	41
	D :	Facsimile of the schedule of investigation	on		•	•			•	43
		Index to the list of table	les in th	e text						
Table (1)		reentage distribution of scheduled tribe howned, taken together) by condition of strand Tripura: NSS 18th round, rural		n unio		toric	s of N	lanipı	UT.	3
TABLE (2)		rcentage distribution of scheduled tribe he territories of Manipur and Tripura: NS					ouse in	n unic	n ·	3
TABLE (3)	1	timated cost of repairs and maintenance ast year by condition of structure of sterritories of Manipur and Tripura: NS	chedule	d trib	c hou					4
TABLE (4)		rcentage distribution of scheduled tribe hand in union territories of Manipur and Trip							er •	4
TABLE (5)	(rcentage distribution of scheduled tribe h drinking water in union territories of Mar rural								4

THE NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY

EIGHTEENTH ROUND : JUNE 1963- MAY 1964 NUMBER 169

TABLES WITH NOTES ON HOUSING CONDITION OF SCHEDULED TRIBE HOUSEHOLDS OF UNION TERRITORIES OF MANIPUR AND TRIPURA

The report ontitled—'Tables with Notes on Housing Condition of Scheduled Tribe Households of Union Territories of Manipura and Tripura -18th round: June 1963—May 1964' was prepared by the Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta and tripura. The views contained in the report are not necessarily tho e of Government of India.*

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The housing condition of scheduled tribes household formed an integral part of the survey on income, expenditure and indebtedness of scheduled tribe households in Union territories of Manipur and Tripura at the instance of Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India. Data were collected through schedule 1.0: Consumer Expenditure in the eighteenth round of NSS. Results of income, indebtedness and consumer expenditure of scheduled tribe households were presented earlier (vide report No. 143, 145 and 158). In this report housing condition of scheduled tribes households in Union Territories of Manipur and Tripura is being discussed.
- 1.2 The survey was conducted in the household sector only. Even in the household sector, only the residential houses occupied by the households were covered.
- 1.3 The survey was conducted both in rural and urban areas of the Union Territories of Manipur and Tripura excluding the disturbed areas of Manipur.
- 1.4 Although the survey was conducted in both rural and urban areas of the two union territories the present report deals with only the rural sector. The effective sample size of the urban areas of these territories was found to be too small to throw up any valid estimate.
- 1.5 The field work of the survey started from the third sub-round of NSS in the month of June 1963 and continued upto May 1964, covering a period of one complete year.
- 1.6 Altogether 379 and 624 sample households of scheduled tribes were selected systematically with a random start from a list of scheduled tribe households of 192 villages each in rural areas of Manipur and Tripura respectively. Information was collected by enquiry from one of the members (usually the head) of the sample households.
- 1.7 The usual technique of interpenetrating network of sub-samples (IPNS) was employed at the data collection and processing stages. The report gives estimates for two independent and interpenetrating sub-samples (each providing equally valid estimates) and also for the combined sample.
- 1.8 The responsibility for the field work was vested with the Directorate of the National Sample Survey, Government of India.
- 1.9 Estimates presented in the report, relate to the condition of structure, age of the house and annual cost of repairs and maintenance.
- 1.10 The report is based mainly on percentage distributions of total number of households under different classifications relating to various aspects of housing condition, such as condition of structure and age of the house, source of drinking water and distance from the source, monthly rent and monthly household expenditure, etc. Most of the tables are in the form of two-way distributions, taking two classificatory characters at a time.
- 1.11 The tables in the text are based on the combined sample. These tables are serially numbered without any prefix. Tables given in appendices are indicated by a capital letter in the beginning of the table number, the letter referring to the appendix to which the table belongs.

^{*}The draft report (No. D192) was first submitted by the Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, to the Government of India in December 1968. It was revised subsequently and resubmitted in August 1969.

1.12 A brief summary of the results is given in Chapter Two. Four appendices are attached to the report the first of which (Appendix A) gives the statistical tables with the description of tables. Appendix B gives in brief the outlines of the design of the survey and the procedure of estimation adopted. Extracts from the Instructions to the Field Workers are given in Appendix C and Appendix D gives a Facsimile of the schedule of enquiry.

Concepts and Definitions

- 1.13 The concepts and definitions followed in the enquiry of housing condition in the eighteenth round were almost the same as those of the seventeenth round. The concepts and definitions for some of the important items are given below.
- 1.14 Dwelling house.—A dwelling house included any structurally separated room or suite of rooms in permanent structure used or intended for habitation by family households and having a separate access to a street or to a common passage or stair way. Detached room or rooms for habitation which were clearly intended to form a part of the dwelling were treated also as a part of the dwelling, whereas any dwelling house originally intended for habitation by family households but which was in practice used for non-residential purposes like offices for other family enterprises were excluded for this enquiry on housing condition.
- 1.15 Household.—A household was a group of persons usually living together and taking principal meals from a common kitchen. A boarding house, a hotel or a hostel was treated as a cluster of households, where each individual boarder (with his dependents or guests) formed a separate house. Households maintained and fed directly by Government such as those in prisons, police quarters, cantonments, hospitals, asylum and relief camps were, however, excluded from the scope of survey.
- 1.16 Household members.—Any person who took principal meals with the household for at least 16 days during a period of 30 days preceding the date of survey was considered to be a member of the household. Such a person may be the head of the household or relation or protege of the head or an employee or guest of the household.

CHAPTER TWO

Summary of Results

- 2.0 Some aspects of the housing condition of scheduled tribe households in Union Territories of Manipur and Tripura revealed by this survey are being discussed with the help of a few tables.
- 2.1 Condition of structure.—Residential houses have been classified according to their condition of structure into three classes (1) excellent, (2) fairly good and needing no major repair and (3) had, dilapidated and improvised. Table (1) gives the percentage distribution of scheduled tribe households by condition of structure for all households (both kinds of houses taken together) in rented and owned in union territories of Manipur and Tripura. The table shows that both in Manipur and Tripura most of the households lived in houses belonging to class (2). The percentage distribution of all types of households in both the territories reveal that about 10 to 11 per cent of households had excellent houses, 50 to 54 per cent had fairly good houses which needed no major repair and about 36 to 40 per cent households lived in houses whose condition was bad, dilapidated and improvised.

TABLE (1): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF SCHEDULED TRIBE HOUSEHOLDS (ALL HOUSES, BOTH RENTED & OWNED, TAKEN TOGETHER) BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE IN UNION TERRITORIES OF MANIPUR AND TRIPURA: NSS 18TH ROUND, RURAL: JUNE 1963—MAY 1964

	a milition of atmosture											
condition of structure	Manipur	Tripura										
(1)			(2)	(3)								
1. excellent			. 10.61	9.72								
2. fairly good and needed no major repair			. 53.63	50.45								
3. bad, dilapidated and improvised		•	. 35.76	39.83								
4. total	•		. 100.00	100.00								
5. number of sample households		•	379	624								

2.2 Table (2) gives the percentage distribution of scheduled tribe households by the age of the house of all houses (both rented and owned) in which they live. The distribution is roughly the same in both the Union Territories, excepting that while in Tripura a larger proportion of households live in newly built houses compared to Manipur, the proportion of households living in very old structures is higher in Manipur than in the other Union Territory.

TABLE (2): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF SCHEDULED TRIBE HOUSEHOLDS BY AGE OF THE HOUSE OF ALL HOUSES IN UNION TERRITORIES OF MANIPUR AND TRIPURA: NSS 18TH ROUND, RURAL: JUNE 1963—MAY 1964

	age of the ho (in years)	use												Manipur	Tripura
	(1)	٠												(2)	(3)
1.	0-2											•	•	4.48	13.33
2.	23	•												10.04	11.28
3.	3—5			•						٠.				25.29	23.56
4.	5—7													23.58	20.16
5.	7—10													13.31	12· 2 7
6.	10—15			·	·	·								8 · 55	11.06
7.	15—20				•	·								3.99	2.94
8.	20-40	•		•	•	•			•				•	0.93	3.67
9.	40—60		•	•	•	•	•	•				•		• •	0.67
io.	60 & above	:	•											9.83	1· 0 6
11.	total		•					•	•		•			100.00	100.00
12.	number of sample l		ehold	s			•	•		•				379	624

2.3 Table (3) gives the estimated cost of repairs and maintenance per scheduled tribe household during last year of the survey in rupees by condition of structure in union territories of Manipur and Tripura. Cost of repairs and maintenance per household (during last year) on residential houses in Tripura was nearly twelve times that of Manipur taking into consideration all types of structures (Rs. 19.50 in Tripura and Rs. 1.65 in Manipur). The amount spent on excellent houses was more than the rest of the other category of households (about Rs. 11 and Rs. 65 in Manipur and Tripura states respectively).

TABLE (3): ESTIMATED COST OF REPAIRS AND MAINTENANCE PER HOUSEHOLD (IN RUPEES) DURING THE LAST YEAR BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE OF SCHEDULED TRIBE HOUSEHOLDS IN UNION TERRITORIES OF MANIPUR AND TRIPURA; NSS 18TH ROUND, RURAL: JUNE 1963—MAY 1964.

conditio	n of	stru	ciure								Manipur	Tripura
(1)		***************************************			 ***************************************					(2)	(3)
1. excellent								•	•		10.87	65.31
2. fairly good						•					0.31	15.43
3. bad, dilapidat	ed, ii	mpro	vised	•	•		•				0.90	13.36
4. all classes		•		•			•				1.65	19.50
5. number of sat	nple	hou	schole	İs		•					3/9	624

2.4 Drinking water.—It is found that most of the people (about 70% of households) in Manipur utilised river, lake and spring for drinking purposes, while in Tripura most of the people (53% of households) utilised tube-well water for their drinking purposes. Percentage of households utilising different sources for drinking purposes is given in Table (4).

TABLE (4): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF SCHEDULED TRIBE HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER IN UNION TERRITORIES OF MANIPUR AND TRIPURA; NSS 18TH ROUND, RURAL; JUNE 1963—MAY 1964.

source													Manipur	Tripura
(1)													(2)	(3)
1. municipal tap		•		•			•	•	•		•	•	1.0	• •
2. well							•						0.71	4.50
3. tube well .							•						0.71	53.11
4. tank and pond				•							•		18.09	4.06
5. river, lake, spring													69 · 45	26.83
6. others				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		10.04	11.50
7. total			•		•					•		•	100.00	100.00
8. number of sample	e ho	uscho	lds .				•			•			379	624

2.5 Distance from source.—Percentage of households having water facilities within the premises of their house is very small (3% to 4%). About 21 to 30 per cent households brought drinking water from a distance of 51 to 100 yards. About 29 per cent of the households in Tripura had to bring water from a distance of 101 to 400 yards against 13 per cent in Manipur State.

TABLE (5): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF SCHEDULED TRIBE HOUSEHOLDS BY DISTANCE FROM SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER IN UNION TERRITORIES OF MANIPUR AND TRIPURA: NSS 18TH ROUND, RURAL: JUNE 1963—MAY 1964.

distance from (in yard	distance from sources Manipur (in yards)							Tripura						
(1)													(2)	(3)
1. within premises*							,			•			2.99	3.89
2. upto 50 .	٠.								•				24 · 71	23.69
3. 51—100								•				•	29.63	20.94
4. 101-400					•								13.25	28.61
5. over 400 .		•	•	•		•				•	•	•	29.42	22.89
6. total	•		•	•	•	•				•		•	100.00	100.00
7. number of samp	le ho	uschol	ds		•		•				•	•	379	624

^{*}refers to within campus and boundary wall.

APPENDIX A

EXPLANATORY NOTES ON TABLES

- A.1. The tables showing detailed figures for different items of Housing Condition of Scheduled Tribe households of rural sector of Union Territories of Manipur and Tripura are given in this appendix. For convenience, the table numbers have been coded. The letter A in the beginning of each table code stands for Appendix A. The left hand digit refers to the State: Digit 1 stands for Manipur and 2 for Tripura. The digit following the first point from left stands for the item presented in the table. The items for which the tables are presented are given below:
 - 1. conditions of structure
 - 2 age of the house
 - 3. source of drinking water
 - 4. distance
 - 5. cost of repair and maintenance
- A.2. The estimates for each item are given by sub-samples (indicated by s1 and s2) and the combined sample (indicated by comb.).
 - A.3 The estimates are given for union territories of Manipur and Tripura only.
 - A.4. Tables are presented by two-way classification.
 - A.5. For presenting tables of percentage distributions the following bases are used:
 - (a) base: total number of households=100
 - (b) base: total number of households under each column=100
 - (c) base : total number of households under each row or line=100
- A.6. Method of classification of households by monthly household expenditure, condition of structure, age of the house, sources of drinking water and distance of the source of drinking water are given below.
- A.7. Monthly household expenditure.—Households were classified by the average monthly household expenditure as reported in block 2 against line 8 of schedule 1.0 and not on actual monthly household expenditure levels in rupees, arranged in ascending order of magnitude. The expenditure levels are grouped into 9 classes as follows:

	monthly household expen- diture levels (in rupees)	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	0— 25 26— 50 51—100 101—200 201—300 301—400 401—500 501 & above all levels	,

A.8. Age of the house.—Households were classified for the information given about the house occupied by the age of the house in years (line 2, column 3 of block 18). The classes for the age of the house are given below in years:

		age of the house (in years)	
	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	0— 2 2— 3 3— 5 5— 7 7— 10 10— 15 15— 20 20— 40	
•	9. 10. 11.	40— 60 60 & above all classes	

The groups 0-2, 2-3,.....stand for less than 2, 2 and less than 3, and so on.

- A.9. Condition of structure.—Information of condition of structure as reported against line 1, column 3 of block 18 was used to classify households as excellent, fairly good and which needed no major repair and bad, dilapidated and improvised.
- A.10. Source of drinking water.—Source of the drinking water was classified under municipal tap, tube-well, pond, tank and river, lake, and other sources according to the information available against line 3 of block 18, column 3.
- A.11. Distance from the source.—Distance from the source of drinking water was available against line 4, column 3 of block 18. Grouping for the distance in yards from the source of supply is given below.

	distance (in yards)	
1	within premises	
2	upto 50	
3	51—100	
4	101400	
5	over 400	

A.12. Cost of repair.—The cost of repairs and maintenance during the previous year in rupces was obtained from the recorded entries against line 7, column 3 of block 18.

Housing Condition of Scheduled Tribe Households

List of Tables

							:	index to ta	bles	
srl. no.	description						Mani	pur	Tripu	ra
							table	page	table	page
(1)	(2)						(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	Percentage distribution of households by co by monthly household expenditure levels:	ndit	ion o	f stru	cture	and	l			
	(a) base: all households			•			A 1·1	8	A 2·1	24
	(b) base: households by expenditure level					•	A 1·2	9	A 2·2	24
	(c) base: households by structure group			•		•	A 1·3	10	A 2·3	25
2	Percentage distribution of households by co by age of the house for owned houses:	ndit	ion o	f stru	cture	and	I			
	(a) base: all households	•			•	•	A 1·4	11	A 2-4	26
	(b) base: households by age group .		•				A 1.5	12	A 2.5	27
	(c) base: households by structure group	•	•		•	•	A 1.6	13	A 2.6	38
3	Percentage distribution of households by co by age of the house for all houses (both rent	ondit	ion o	f stru wned	cture house	and				
	(a) base: all households		•		•		A 1·7	14	A 2·7	29
	(b) base: households by age group .		•	•		•	A 1·8	15	A 2.8	30
	(c) base: households by structure group	•	•	•	•	•	A 1·9	16	A 2·9	31
4	Estimated cost of repairs and maintenance p by age of the house and by structure group	er h	ousch	old (i	n rup	ces)	A 1·10	17	A 2·10	32
5	Percentage distribution of households by sour by monthly household expenditure levels:	ce of	f drin	king '	water	and				
	(a) base: all households		•	•	•	•	A 1•11	18	A 2·11	33
	(b) base: households by expenditure level		•	•	•	•	A 1·12	19	A'2·12	34
	(c) base: households by source.		•	•	•	•	A 1·13	20	A 2·13	35
6	Percentage distribution of households by sour by distance from source:	rce o	f dri	aking	wate	rand	l			
	(a) base: all households		•	•	•	•	A 1·14	21	A 2·14	36
	(b) base: households by distance group		•	•	•		A 1·15	22	A 2·15	37
	(c) base: households by source .				•		A 1·16	23	A 2·16	38

TABLE (A1·1): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY MONTHLY HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE LEVELS.

number of sample villages: 192

eub-							_			number
sample	025		1—100	101— 200	201— 300	301— 400	401— 500	501 & above	all expendi- ture	of sample house- holds
(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
			base : al	l househ	olds	•				
1	0.49	2·44	4.88	. 3.41	• •					23
2	••	0.57	4.60	1.15	••	• •	••	• •	6.32	11
comb.	0.43	2·21	4.84	3.13	••	••		•	. 10-61	34
1	0.97	9.76	27.31	11.71	0.98	• •		•	. 50.73	104
2	0.57	6 ·3 3	43.68	22.41	1.15	••		• •	74.14	129
comb.	0.93	9·33	29·34	13.03	1.00	••		• •	. 53·63	233
1	0.38	6.34	18.05	12.68	• •	••	••	•	38.05	78
2	••	1.14	9.77	8.05	0.58	• •	••	•	19•54	34
comb.	0.85	5·70	17.03	12·11	0.07			• .	. 35.76	112
1	2.44	18.54	50.24	27.80	0.98		• •		. 100.00	205
2	0.57	8.04	58.05	31.61	1.73	••	• •	•	. 100 •00	174
comb.	2·21	17·24	51-21	28·27	1.07		• •		. 100.00	379
1	3	38	103	57	2	• •	•	• ,	205	·
2	1	14	101	55	3	• •	•	•	17	4
la	_									
	(2) 1 2 comb. 1 2 comb. 1 2 comb.	1 0.49 2 comb. 0.43 1 0.97 2 0.57 comb. 0.93 1 0.98 2 comb. 0.85 1 2.44 2 0.57 comb. 2.21	sub-sample 0—25 26—50 5 (2) (3) (4) (a)	sub-sample 0-25 26-50 51-100 (2) (3) (4) (5) (a) base : al 1 0.49 2.44 4.88 2 0.57 4.60 comb. 0.43 2.21 4.84 1 0.97 9.76 27.31 2 0.57 6.33 43.68 comb. 0.93 9.33 29.34 1 0.98 6.34 18.05 2 1.14 9.77 comb. 0.85 5.70 17.03 1 2.44 18.54 50.24 2 0.57 8.04 58.05 comb. 2.21 17.24 51.21	sub-sample 0—25 26-50 51—100 101—200 (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (a) base : all househ 1 0.49 2.44 4.88 3.41 2 0.57 4.60 1.15 comb. 0.43 2.21 4.84 3.13 1 0.97 9.76 27.31 11.71 2 0.57 6.33 43.68 22.41 comb. 0.93 9.33 29.34 13.03 1 0.98 6.34 18.05 12.68 2 1.14 9.77 8.05 comb. 0.85 5.70 17.03 12.11 1 2.44 18.54 50.24 27.80 2 0.57 8.04 58.05 31.61 comb. 2.21 17.24 51.21 28.27 1 5 38 103 57 2 1 14 101 55	sample 0-25 26-50 51-100 101- 201- 200 300 (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (a) base : all households 1 0.49 2.44 4.88 3.41 2 0.57 4.60 1.15 comb. 0.43 2.21 4.84 3.13 1 0.97 9.76 27.31 11.71 0.98 2 0.57 6.33 43.68 22.41 1.15 comb. 0.93 9.33 29.34 13.03 1.00 1 0.98 6.34 18.05 12.68 2 1.14 9.77 8.05 0.58 comb. 0.85 5.70 17.03 12.11 0.07 1 2.44 18.54 50.24 27.80 0.98 2 0.57 8.04 58.05 31.61 1.73 comb. 2.21 17.24 51.21 28.27 1.07	sample 0—25 26-50 51—100 101— 201— 301— 301— (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (8) (1) (2) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (8) (1) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (8) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	sample 0—25 26-50 51—100 101— 201— 301— 401— 500 (a) base : all households (a) base : all households 1 0·49 2·44 4·88 3·41 2 0·57 4·60 1·15 comb. 0·43 2·21 4·84 3·13 1 0·97 9·76 27·31 11·71 0·98 2 0·57 6·33 43·68 22·41 1·15 comb. 0·93 9·33 29·34 13·03 1·00 1 0·98 6·34 18·05 12·68 2 1·14 9·77 8·05 0·58 1 2·44 18·54 50·24 27·80 0·98 2 0·57 8·04 58·05 31·61 1·73 2 0·57 8·04 </td <td>sample 0-25 26-50 51-100 101- 201- 301- 401- 501 & above (a) base: all households (a) base: all households 1 0.49 2.44 4.88 3.41 </td> <td>sample one of sample sample one of sample one of sample one of sample sample one of sample one one one one of sample one</td>	sample 0-25 26-50 51-100 101- 201- 301- 401- 501 & above (a) base: all households (a) base: all households 1 0.49 2.44 4.88 3.41	sample one of sample sample one of sample one of sample one of sample sample one of sample one one one one of sample one

TABLE (A1·2): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY MONTHLY HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE LEVELS

Union Territory: Manipur—Rural

number of sample villages: 192

			1	nonthly l	househol	d expendi	iture clas	s in rup	ecs		number
condition of structure	sub- sample	0—25	26—50	51 <u>—</u> 100	101— 200	201— 300	301— 400	401— 500	501 & above	all expen- diture	of sample house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
		(b) b	asc: hou	ischolds	by expen	diture lev	/el				
excellent	1	20.00	13.16	9.71	12.28		••	••	••	11.22	23
	2	• •	7.14	7.92	3.64	••	••	••	••	6.32	11
	comb.	19•35	12.82	9·46	11.08	••	••	••	••	10-61	34
fairly good and need	1	40.00	52.63	54·37	42.11	100.00	• •	••	••	50.73	104
no major repair	2	100.00	78 • 57	75 • 25	70-91	66 · 67	••	••	••	74 · 14	129
	comb.	41.96	54-13	57·30	46·10	93·26	••	••	••	53.63	233
bad, dilapidated and	1	40.00	34.21	35.92	45.61	••			••	38.05	78
improvised	2	••	14-29	16.83	25.45	33·3 3	••	••		19 · 54	34
	comb.	38·69	33.05	33 • 24	42.82	6.74	••	••	••	35.76	112
total	1	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	• •	••	••	100.00	205
	2	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100-00	••	••	••	100.00	174
	comb.	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	••	••	••	100.00	379
number of sample house-holds	1	5	38	103	57	2	• •	• •	••	205	
norus	2	1	14	101	55	3		• •		174	
	comb.	6	52	204	112	5	••		• •	37 9)

TABLE (A1-3): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY MONTHLY HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE LEVELS.

number of sample villa								umber	of sample	househo	olds : 379
			monthly i	househol	d expendi	ture class	in rupe			TO MA MANAGEMENT	numbe
condition of structure	sub- sample		26—50	51— 100	101 200	201— 300			- 501 & above	all expen- diture	of sample house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3) 	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
		(c) base	e: house	holds by	structure	group					
excellent	1	4.35	21.74	43.48	30.43	••		• •		100.00	23
	2	••	9.09	72.73	18.18	• •	••		••	100.00	11
	comb.	4.03	20.81	45.63	29.53	••	••	••	••	100.00	34
fairly good and need no major repair	1	1.92	19·23	53.85	23.08	1.92	• •	• •	• •	100.00	104
no major ropan	2	0.78	8.53	58.91	30.23	1.55	• •	••	• •	100.00	129
	comb.	1.73	17·40	54.72	24.30	1.85	••	• •	••	100.00	233
bad, dilapidated and	1	2.56	16·67	47.44	33.33	••	• •	• •		100.00	78
improvised	2	• •	5.88	50.00	41 · 18	2.94			••	100.00	34
	comb.	2·39	15.94	47·61	33.86	0.20	••	••	••	100.00	112
total	1	2·44	18.54	50·24	27.80	0.98	••	••		100.00	205
	2	0 · 57	8.04	58.05	31.61	1.73	• •	••		100.00	174
	comb.	2·21	17·24	51-21	28·27	1.07	••	••	••	100.00	379
number of sample	1	5	38	103	57	2	• •	••	• •	205	
households	2	1	14	101	55	3	• •		••	174	
	comb.	6	52	204	112	5	••	•.	••	379	

TABLE (A1.4): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY AGE OF THE HOUSE FOR OWNED
HOUSES

number of sample house-103 129 232 5 376 34 \$ 202 174 (14) 6.32 10.75 50.99 74-14 53.90 37-62 19.54 35.35 00.00 00.00 60.001 (3)202 174 376 number of sample households: 376 total 64.0 96.6 68.01 9.53 0.43 ä (12) 23 above 1 9 5 Ξ 8 8 0.980.49 0.43 0.49 0.57 0.94 0.57 0.51 (01) : : 15-20 9+.+ 1.15 0.07 1.80 2.48 2.17 4.04 0.57 = 0.58 6 1.98 age of the house (in years) 10 15 : 4.76 3.96 3.45 3.30 8.91 6.90 99.8 H 9 3.45 4.95 8 7—10 1:49 0.57 5.45 8.62 10.41 27-16 5 1.37 5.42 5.84 12.63 0′ 7 3.47 18.97 6 base: all households 5-7 0.58 24-75 23-45 10.10 2.30 11.54 14.37 25 12.87 20 75 1.81 11.49 9.90 9 2.16 2.48 26.24 14-43 9.05 37 8.8 2.87 25.61 23 8 J. 13.86 18.39 9 B 73 0.58 9.40 3.96 3.54 15.52 90.9 10.17 19 77 4 4.95 0.49 1.15 0.57 3 7 4.02 3.47 0.99 1.37 6.0 7.47 1.80 1.49 0.58 1.37 12.07 4.54 7 28 3 comb. comb. comb. comb. subcomb. 3 fairly good and need no major repair bad, dilapidated and improvised number of sample villages: 192 condition of structure number of sample households Ξ excellent total

TABLE (A1.5): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUTURE AND BY AGE OF THE HOUSE FOR OWNED HOUSES

Union Territory: Manipur-Rural

	Ę					age of t	age of the house (in years)	1 years)					number
	sample	7-0	2—3	3—5	5-7	7—10	10—15	15—20	20—40	40—60	60— above	total	sample house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	6)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
				(b) bas	(b) base: households by age group	olds by age	group						
excellent	. 1	28.57	42·11	9.43	8.00	14.29	:	:	20.00	:	:	11.39	23
	7	33-33	3.70	•	4.00	3.02	:	20.00	:	:	:	6.32	11
	comb.	30-17	34.75	8-45	69.2	10.86	:	1.76	46.20	:	:	10.75	¥
fair good and need no major repair	1	28.57	52.63	52.83	40.00	33.33	55.56	4:4	:	:	95.65	\$0.99	103
	7	61.91	88 · 89	86.49	80.00	67-35	20.00	20.00	:	:	:	74 14	12
	comb.	39.66	59-58	56.34	43.08	42.86	55.00	44.67	:	:	95.65	53.90	232
bad, dilapidated and improvised	. 1	42.86	5.26	37-74	52.00	52.38	4.4	55.56	20.00	:	4.35	37-62	76
	2	4.76	7-41	13.51	16.00	30.61	80.00	:	100.00	:	:	19.54	æ
	comb.	30.17	2.67	35.21	49.23	46.28	45.00	53-57	53.80	:	4.35	35-35	110
total	1	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	:	100.00	100.00	207
	и	100.00	100.00	100.00	100-00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	:	100.00	100-00	174
	comb.	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	:	100.00	100 · 00	376
N imber of sample households .	1	7	19	53	20	21	18	6	2	:	ะเ	202	
	7	21	27	37	25	46	12	2	-	:	:	et Li	
	comb.	78	46	8	75	ç	30	1	"		23	375	

TABLE (A1.6) PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY AGE OF THE HOUSE FOR OWNED
HOUSES

3-15 C.S.O. (N.D.)/69

8 % 110 × 603 129 232 202 174 376 number of sample house-holds (14) number of sample households: 376 100.00 00.001 100 00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 00.001 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 174 376 (13) total 21.36 17.67 1.31 1.23 96.6 \mathbb{S} 23 (12) 60-above Ξ 3 2.94 1.43 0.57 0.94 4.03 1.31 52 (10) 3.35 6.12 4.46 1.15 ささ 9.68 9.28 Ξ 15-20 99.0 3.88 <u>6</u> (c) base: households by structure group age of the house (in years) 99.8 11.02 6.9 8.84 17.65 18 30 8.91 4.65 10.53 11.6 7-10 10-15 8 28.16 12.63 10.04 41.12 16.53 4 5 60.6 12.76 10.41 25.58 14.47 5 9 80 9 23-45 11.76 32.65 14.37 24.75 8 23 75 60.6 18.74 16.77 15.50 19.42 34.21 5-7 9 21.26 25.61 14.71 25.51 26.24 53 37 8 20.14 26.77 21-74 27 · 18 26.32 24.81 3-5 3 10.17 5.88 1.63 9.40 15.52 18.60 19 27 9 11.24 9.69 32.88 34.78 9.71 73 Ŧ 3.88 12.07 4.54 80 3.47 12.76 3.35 2.94 21 63.64 10.08 3.95 1.94 5 3 sub-sample comb. comb. ව comb. comb. comb. fairly good and need no major repair bad, dilapidated and improvised number of sample villages: 192 number of sample houseolds condition of structure Ξ excellent total

	1		1	23	Ξ	*	ই	129	233	78	*	112	202	174	379	!			
ISES	number	of sample house- holds	(14)																
LL HOU		total	(13)	11.22	6.32	10.61	50.73	74.14	53.63	38.05	19.54	35.76	100.00	100.00	100.00	205	174	379	
CTURE AND BY AGE OF THE HOUSE FOR ALL HOUSES		60— above	(12)	:	:	:	10.73	:	9.40	0.49	:	0.43	11.22	:	9.83	23	:	23	
E HOUS				:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	
3 OF THI		0 40-60	(11)	0.49	:	0.43	:	:	:	0.49	0.57	0.50	86.0	0.57	0.93	7	-	m	
BY AGI		20—40	(10)		_		15	80	8/	2:44	:	2.14	4.39	1.15	3.99	6	7	11	
RE AND	nouse (in years)	15—20	66	:	0.57	0.07	1.95	0.58	1.78	2.	Ť	5.							
geru [) esnou	H2			:	:	· + 88	3-45	4.70	3.90	3-45	3.85	8.78	6.9	8.55	18	12	90	
· <u>-</u>																			
!====															L ,				
i															Ŀ,				
i	•														L.				
\$	•	,							Ī) - 1 			L.,				
\$,							1						L:				
<u> </u>					_										L _,				
\$															L .				
															L:				
															L.,				

TABLE (A1.8) PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY AGE OF THE HOUSE FOR ALL HOUSES

						age of the	age of the house (in years)	ears)					number of sample
condition of structure	sub- sample	0-2	2—3	3.5	5-7	7—10	10—15	15—20	20—40	40—60	60— above	total	holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(7)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
				(b) base	: househol	households by age groups	groups						
excellent	1	28.57	42.11	9.43	7.84	13.04	:	:	20.00	:	:	11.22	23
	7	33.33	3.70	:	4.00	2.04	:	20.00	:	:	:	6.32	11
	comb.	30-17	34.75	8.45	7.55	10.17	:	1.76	46.20	:	•	10-61	34
fairly good and need no major	-	28.57	52.63	52-83	41.18	30.44	55.56	44.44	:	:	95.65	50 · 73	18
repair.	ų	16.19	88.89	86.49	80.00	67.35	20.00	50.00	:	:	:	74 · 14	129
	comb.	39.66	59.58	\$6.34	44.11	40.11	55.00	44.67	:	:	95-65	53-63	233
bad. dilapidated and improvised	-	42.86	5.26	37.74	\$0.98	56.52	4.4	55.56	20.00	:	4.35	38.05	78
	. 7	4.76	7.41	13.51	16.00	30.61	50.00	:	100.00	:	:	19.54	34
	comb.	30·17	2.67	35.21	48.34	49.72	45.00	53.57	53.80	•	4.35	35.76	112
total	-	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100 · 00	100.00	:	100.00	100.00	202
	61	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	:	100.00	100.00	174
	comb.	100 00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	:	100.00	100.00	379
number of sample households	-	7	61	53	51	23	18	6	2	:	23	205	
	7	21	27	37	25	49	12	7	-	:	:	174	
	comb.	28	46	6	92	72	30	11	3	•	23	379	

TABLE (A1.9) PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY AGE OF THE HOUSE FOR ALL HOUSES

Union Territory: Manipur-Rural

Condition of structure	400					age o	age of the house (in years)	(in years)					number of sample
	sup-	0-5	2-3	3-5	5-7	7—10	10—15	15—20	20—40	60—60	60— above	total	holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
		-		(c) ba	se : housel	holds by st.	(c) base: households by structure group	dr					
excellent	7 7	8.70	34·78 9·09	21·74	17.39	13.04	: :	: 60·6	4.35	: :	: :	100.00	2 =
	comb.	12.76	32.88	20.14	16.77	12.76	:	99.0	4.03	:	:	100.00	34
fairly good and need no major	-	1.92	9.62	26-92	20.19	6.73	9.62	3.85	:	:	21.15	100.00	5
repair.	7	10.08	18.60	24.81	15.50	25.58	4.65	0.78	:	:	:	100.00	129
	comb.	3.32	11.16	26.56	19.39	96.6	8.76	3.32	:	: :	17.53	100.00	233
bad, dilapidated and improvised	1	3.85	1.28	25.64	33.33	16.67	10.26	6.41	1.78	:	1.28	100.00	78
	2	2.94	5.88	14.71	11.76	44 · 12	17.65	:	2.94	:	•	100.00	34
	comb.	3.79	1 · 59	24.90	31.87	18.52	10.76	5.98	1.39	:	1.20	100.00	112
total	1	3.42	9.27	25.86	24.87	11-21	8.78	4.39	86.0	:	11.22	100 · 00	205
	7	12.07	15-52	21.26	14.37	28.16	06.9	1.15	0.57	:	:	109.00	174
	соть.	4.48	10.04	25·29	23.58	13-31	8.55	3.99	0 93	:	9.83	100.00	379
umber of sample households	1	7	61	53	51	23	18	6	2	:	23	205	
	7	21	72	37	25	49	12	7	1	:	:	174	
	comb.	28	- 46	8	92	72	30	=	£	:	23	379	

TABLE (A1·10): ESTIMATED COST OF REPAIRS AND MAINTENANCE PER HOUSEHOLD IN RUPEES BY AGE OF THE HOUSE AND BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE

number of sample villages: 192

		-								ı	condition (of structure		number
age of the	he ho	ouse i	in yea	īts					sub- sample	excel- lent	fairly good & need no major repair	bad, dilapidated and improvised	total	of sample house- holds
		•			(1)			,	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
0- 2	•			•	•	•	•	•	. 2 comb.	••			••	7 21 28
2— 3	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	. 2 comb.		••			19 27 46
3 5		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 2 comb.	••	0·36 1·09 0·48	0·75 0·72	0·47 0·95 0·52	53 37 90
5— 7	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	. 1 2 comb.	••	2·25 0·32	• •	1·80 0·14	51 25 76
7—10	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 2 comb.	••	0·61 0·27	2·27 1·85	1·19 0·41 0·97	23 49 72
10—15	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	. 2 comb.			3·33 0·37	1·67 0·17	18 12 30
15—20	•		•		-	•	•	•	. 2 comb.	300·00 303·57	70·00 2·80	6·00	3·33 185·00 9·82	9 2 11
20—40	•	-	•			•		•	. 2 comb.	220·00 220·00	••		110·00 101·63	2 1 3
40—60		•	•	•	٠	•		•	. 2 comb.	••	••	••	••	••
60 & at	oove	•		•	•	•	•		. 2 comb.	••			••	23 23
all		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 2 comb.	9·57 27·27 10·87	0·10 1·32 0·31	0·92 0·59 0·90	1·49 2·82 1·65	205 174 379
number	of sa	mple	hous	ehold	s .	•	•	•	. 1 2 comb.	23 11 34	104 129 233	78 34 112	205 174 379	.,

TABLE (A1·11): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER AND BY MONTHLY HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE LEVELS

number of sample villages:192

			mor	thly hous	ehold ex	penditure	in rupe	es			number
source of drinking water	sub sample	025	26—50	51—100	101— 200	201— 300	301— 400	401— 500	501 & above	all levels	- of sample house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
			(a) bas	c : all he	ouscholds						a an angang and had a select Rag
municipal tap	1	•••	••	• •	••		•••		••	• •	
	2		0 · 57	6.32	1 · 15					8.04	14
	comb.	• •	0.07	0·79	0·14			• •	• •	1.00	14
	1	••	••	0.49	• •		••		••	0.49	1
well	2		0 · 57	1.73		• •				2.30	4
	comb.	••	0.07	0.64	• •	• •	• •	• •		0.71	5
	1			0.49	•	• • •			• •	0.49	1
tube well	2		••	1.73	0.57					2.30	4
	comb.	••	••	0.64	0.07		••	••	••	0.71	5
tank and pond	1	0.49	2.93	10.73	5.36				••	19.51	40
	2			2.87	5 ·18			••		8.05	14
	comb.	0.43	2.57	9.75	5.34	••	• •	••	• •	18 · 09	54
river, lakes or spring.	1	1.95	10.73	32.68	21.95	0.98				68 · 29	140
	2	0 · 57	6.90	45.40	22.99	1.73				77 · 59	135
	comb.	1 · 78	10.26	34.26	22.08	1.07				69 · 45	275
	1	••	4.88	5.85	0.49					11.22	23
others	2				1.72	• •		• •		1.72	3
	comb.	• •	4 · 27	5.13	0.64	••	• •	• •		10.04	26
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	2.44	18 · 54	50.24	27.80	0.98	• •		• •	100.00	205
total	2	0.57	8.04	58.05	31-61	1.73		• •	••	100.00	174
	comb.	2.21	17-24	51-21	28·27	1.07	• •	••	••	100.00	379
number of sample house-	1	5	38	103	57	2	••			205	
holds	2	1	14	101	55	3			••	174	
,	comb.	6	52	204	112	5				379	

TABLE (A1.12): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER AND BY MONTHLY HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE LEVELS

Union Territory: Manipur-Rural

number of sample villages: 192	number of sample households: 379
--------------------------------	----------------------------------

source of drinking water	sub-		mo	nthly hou	schold e	xpenditu	re in rupo	ees			numbe of sample
Source of drinking water	sample	025	26—50	51—100	101— 200	201— 300	301— 400	401— 500	501 & above	all levels	house holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
		(b) ba	se : hous	seholds by	expend	iture leve	1				
municipal tap	1	• •			• •						
	2	• •	7 · 14	10.89	3.64			• •		8.04	14
	comb.	••	0.41	1.53	0.50		٠.		••	1.00	14
well	1	••	••	0.97						0.49	
	2		7 · 14	2.97				••		2.30	4
	comb.	• •	0.42	1.25	• •		••	••		0.71	:
tube well	1	• •		0.97	• •	• •	••			0.49	
	2	••	••	2.97	1.82	••	• •	••		2.30	4
	comb.	••	••	1.25	0.25		••	••		0.71	:
tank and pond	1	20.00	15.79	21.36	19.30			• •		19.51	40
	2	••		4.95	16.36	••				8.05	14
	comb.	19:35	14.88	19.05	18.90	••		••	• •	18.09	5
river, lakes or spring .	1	80.00	57.89	65.05	78.95	100.00			• •	68 · 29	140
	2	100.00	85.72	78 • 22	72.73	100.00	• •			77 • 59	13.
	comb.	80.65	59·50	66.90	78· 0 9	100.00	••	• •	• •	69.45	27
others	1		26.32	11.65	1.75					11.22	2:
	2	••		••	5.45	••	••	••		1.72	•
	comb.		24 · 79	10.02	2.26	• •				10.04	2
total	1	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00				100.00	
	2	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00			• •	100 · 00	17
	comb.	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00			. ,	100.00	37
number of sample house-holds.	1	5	38	103	57	2		••		205	
AUIUS.	2	1	14	101	55	3	• •	• •	• -	174	
	comb.	6	52	204	112	5	• •		• •	379	

National Sample Survey

RCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER AND BY MONTHLY HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE LEVELS

Union Territory: Manipur-Rural

number of sample villages: 192

ource of drinking water	sub-			mon	thly house	ehold exp	penditure	in rupee	s		number
ource of drinking water	suo- sample	0—25	26—50	51100	101— 200	201— 300	301— 400	401— 500	501 & above	all levels	sample house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
			(c) b	ase: hou	seholds b	y source			-		
municipal tap	1	• •				• •		••		• •	
	2		7·14	78· 5 7	14.29			••	• •	100.00	14
	comb.	••	7· 0 6	78.65	14.29	••	• •	••	••	100.00	14
well	1			100.00	••		•••	••	• •	100.00	1
	2		25.00	75.00	• •	• •				100.00	4
	comb.		10.12	89-88	••	• •		••	• •	100.00	5
tube well	1		••	100.00	••	••	• •		• •	100.00	1
	2	••	• •	75.00	25.00	••	••		• •	100.00	4
	comb.		••	90 · 12	9.88	••	••	• •	••	100.00	5
rank and pond . •	1	2.50	15.00	55.00	27.50	• •	•••	-		100.00	40
-	2		• •	35.71	64.29				••	100.00	14
	comb.	2.36	14-17	53.94	29 · 53	••	••			100.00	54
river, lakes or spring .	1	2.86	15.71	47.86	32.14	1.43	••		• •	100.00	140
	2	0.74	8.89	58 · 52	29.63	2.22				100.00	135
	comb.	2.57	14.77	49-33	31.79	1 · 54				100.00	275
others	1		43.48	52 · 17	4.35		• •	• •	• •	100.00	23
	2				100.00		••		••	100.00	3
	comb.		42.56	51.07	6.37	• •	••	••	••	100.00	26
total	1	2.44	18 · 54	50-24	27.80	0.98	• •			100.00	205
	2	0.57	8.04	58.05	31.61	1.73	••		••	100.00	174
	comb.	2.21	17 · 24	51-21	28.27	1.07	••	••		100.00	379
number of sample house-	1	5	38	103	57	2				205	-
holds.	2	1	14	101	55	3	••	••	••	174	
•	comb.	6	52	204	112	5			• •	379	

TABLE (A1 14): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER AND DISTANCE FROM SOURCE

number of sample villages: 192

		dist	ance from t	he source in	ı yards			number
source of drinking water	sub- sample	within premises	upto 50	51—100	101400	over 400	total	of sample house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	(a) ba	se : all house'	iolds					
unicipal tap	1		• •					
	2	4.60	2.87	0.57			8.04	14
	comb.	0.57	0.36	0.07	٠		1.00	1-
veli	1		, ,		0.49	••	0.49	1
	2	• •	••	2.30	••		2.30	4
	comb.	••	• •	0 ·28	0.43		0.71	;
ube-well	1		0.49	••			0.49	1
	2	••	2.30	••	••	• •	2.30	
	comb.		0.71		••	• •	0.71	
tank and pond	1	1.95	0.97	6.83	2.44	7.32	19.51	4(
	2	4.60	• •	••	1.73	1 · 72	8.05	1.
	comb.	2.28	0.85	5.99	2:35	6.62	18.09	5
river, lakes or spring	1	• •	10.73	23-41	9. 77	24 ·88	68 · 29	14
	2	••	28.74	21.84	18-96	8.02	77 • 59	13.
	comb.	••	12.96	23-22	10-47	22.80	69•45	2 7
others	1		11-22	• •			11.22	2.
	2	1-14	••	0.58	••	••	1.72	
	comb.	0.14	9.83	0.07			10.04	2
total	1	1-95	23-41	30.21	12.20	32 · 20	100.00	20:
	2	10.34	33.91	25.29	20.69	9.77	100-00	17
	comb.	2.99	24· 71	29.63	13.25	29.42	100-00	379
number of sample households	. 1.	4	48	62	25	66	205	
	2	. 18	59	44	36	17	174	
	comb.	: 4 1-22	107	~4°	61	8.3	379	

TABLE (A1.15): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER AND DISTANCE FROM SOURCE

number of sample villages: 192

			distance	from the	source in	yards		number
source of drinking water	sub- sampl e	within premises	upto 50	51—100	101-400	over 400	total	of sample house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)]5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	(5) base : house	holds by	distance gro	oup			
municipal tap	1		••				 - •	
	2	44.44	8.47	2.27			8.04	14
	comb.	19.05	1.41	0.56		• •	1.00	14
well	1	••			4.00		0.49	1
	2	• •		9.09		• •	2:30	4
	comb.	••		2.21	3+23	• •	0.71	:
tuhe-well	1		2.08				0.49	1
	2		6·78	• •	• •		2.30	4
	eomb.	• •	2.88		• •	• •	0.71	5
tank and pond	1	100.00	4.17	22:58	20.00	22.73	19.51	 40
	2	44 · 44			8.33	17.65	8:05	14
	comb.	76.19	3 · 46	46.48	17.34	22.52	18:09	54
river, lakes or spring	1		45.83	77.12	76.00	77•27	68 · 29	140
	2		84.75	86.37	91.67	82:35	77.59	135
	comb.		52-45	50 · 20	79:03	77.48	69.45	275
others	1		47.92				11.22	 23
	2	11:12	• •	2.27			1.72	3
	comb.	4.76	39.77	0.55			10.04	26
total		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	205
	2	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	174
	comb.	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	379
number of sample households		4	48	62	25	66	205	
	2	18	59	44	36	17	174	
•	comb.	22	107	106	61	83	379	

 TABLE (A1.16): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER

 AND DISTANCE FROM SOURCE.

- F. Ininking worker	cu b		distance (from the o	ource in yar	ds		_
source of drinking water	sub- sample	within premises	upto 50	51100	101 400	Over 400	total	number of sampl house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(ó)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	(c) I	pase : house	hold by	ource	an agus contrainn a tha agus - A			
municipal tap	. 1				.,		100 60	-
	2	57:15	35.71	7.14	• •		100 00	14
	comb.	57-15	35.71	7 14			100.00	14
					100.00		100.00	
well		• •	•	100.00		• •		i
	2 comb.		••	100·00 40·00	60.00	• •	100·00 100 00	5
tube-well.	. 1	····	100.00			•••	100.00	1
	2	• ·	100.00				100.00	4
	comb	••	100.00				100 00	5
tank and pond	. 1	10.00	5.00	35.00	12.50	37.50	100.00	40
	?	57:14			21.43	21-43	100 00	1.4
	comb.	12:60	4.72	33.07	12.99	36-62	100.00	54
iver, lakes or spring	t		15.71	34-29	13.57	36-43	100.00	140
	2		37.04	26.15	24.44	10.37	100.00	135
	comb.	••	18.67	33.43	15· 0 8	32.82	100.00	275
others	1		100.00				100.00	23
	2	66.67		33.33			100.00	3
	comb.	1.42	97.88	0.70	• •	• •	100.00	26
otal	1	1-95	23.41	30 · 24	12.20	32·20	100.00	205
	2	10.34	33.91	25.29	20.69	9 ·77	100.00	174
	comb.	2.99	24.71	29 63	13-25	29 · 42	100.00	379
number of sample households .	1	4	48	62	25	66	205	
	2	18	59	44	36	17	174	
	comb.	. 22	107	106	61	83	379	

TABLE (A2.1): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY MONTHLY HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE LEVELS.

Union Territory: Tripura—Rural

number of sample villages: 192

number of sample households: 624

10.0	. 1.		moi	n hly hous	schold exp	enditure	class in r	upees			number
condition of structure	sub- sample	0-25 26	-50	51100	101200	201-300	301-400	401-500	501 & above	all expen- diture	of sample house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
				(a) base	: all hou	scholds					
excellent	1 2		0.30	2·72 1·52	5·10 0·61	2.38	0.68	0·34 0·30	0:30	11·22 3·03	33 10
	comb.	• •	0.06	2.49	4.28	1.95	0.56	0.33	0.05	9.72	43
fairly good and need no major repair	1 2	0·34 0·30	3·06 4·24		23·13 31·21	1·70 6·06	2.43	0.31	0.91	46·94 66·06	138 218
	comb.	0 33	3.28	19.06	24.61	2.50	0.44	0.06	0.17	40.45	356
bad, dilapidated and im- provised	1 2	0 68 0 30	5·10 3·64		10·55 9·70	0·34 0·91			0.30	41·84 30·91	123 102
	comb.	0.61	4.83	23.50	10.39	0.44	• •	• •	0.06	39.83	225
total	1 2	1 02 0 · 60	8·16 8·18		38·78 41·52	4·42 6·97	0·68 2·43	0·34 0·61	1.51	100 00 100 00	294 330
	comb.	0 94	8 · 17	45.05	39.28	4.89	1.00	0.39	0.28	100.00	624
number of sample house- hold	1 2	3 2	24 27	137 126	114 137	13 23	2 8	1 2	· <u>·</u> 5	294 33 ₀	. . .
	comb.	5	51	263	251	36	10	3	5	624	

TABLE (A2.2): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY MONTHLY HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE LEVELS.

Union Territory: Tripura -- Rural number of sumple villages: 192 number of sample households: 624 (b) base: households by expenditure level 13.16 100.00 100.00 33 5.84 53.85 11.22 excollent 3.70 3.97 20.00 3.03 1.46 50,00 10 ٠. . . 5.55 10.89 39.77 55.56 85.71 20.00 9.72 0.68 43 comb. 37.50 46.94 33,33 40.15 59.65 38.46 138 fairly good and need no 51.85 50.00 66 06 53.97 75.18 86.92 100.00 60.00 50.00 218 major repair. comb. 35.29 40.13 42.29 62.66 51 - 14 44.44 14.29 60.00 50.45 356 123 27.19 41.84 bad, dilapidated and im-66.67 62:50 51.01 7.69 50.00 $44 \cdot 45$ 42.06 23.36 13.08 20.00 30.90 102 provised ٠. ٠. 39.83 64.71 59-19 52.16 26.45 9.09 20.00 225 comb. 12 100 00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 294 100.00 100.00 total . 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 330 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 comb. 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 624 12 294 number of sample house-3 2 137 13 5 2 27 126 137 23 8 2 330 holds 5 10 3 5 624 51 263 251 36 comb.

5

3

624

TABLE (A2.3): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY MONTHLY HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE LEVELS.

Union Territory: Tripura-Rural

number of sample villages: 192 number of sample households: 624 monthly household expenditure class in rupees sub-กแกระก sample 0-25 26-25 51-100 101-200 201 300 301 400 401 500 501 & all condition of structure οľ Sample above expenditure households (6) (7) (10)(5) (8) (9) (11)(12)(1) (2) (3) (4)(c) base: households by structure group 100 00 1 24 - 24 45.46 21-21 6.06 3.03 33 excellent 10.00 10.00 100 00 10 2 10.00 50.00 20.00 25.72 44.00 20.00 5.71 3.43 0.57100.00 .13 comb. 0.57 100.00 138 fairly good and need no 0.72 6.5239.86 49.28 3.62 2 31.19 1.38 100.00 218 major repair 0.46 6.42 47 - 25 9.17 3 67 0.46 comb. 0.666.50 37.78 $48 \cdot 78$ 4.96 0.880 11 0.33100.00 356 100.00 123 bad, dilapidated an 1 im ı 1.63 12-20 60.16 25.20 0.81 2 0.98 100:00 102 provised 0.98 11.77 51.96 31 - 37 2.94 . . coanb. 1.53 12.13 59.00 26.08 1.12 0.14 100.00 225 total 1.02 8.16 46.60 38.78 4.42 0.68 0.34100.00 294 2 0.60 8.18 6.97 2.43 0.61 1.51 100.00 330 38:18 41.52 0.94 0.39 0.28 - 100.00624 8.17 45.05 39.28 4.89 1.00 comb. 294 3 2 number of sample house-1 24 137 114 13 1 holds. 2 27 2 5 330 2 126 137 23 8

5

51

263

251

36

10

comb.

number of sample households: 620

TABLE (A2.4): PBRCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY AGE OF THE HOUSE FOR OWNED HOUSES

Union Territor: : Tripura-Rural

number of sample villages: 192

	4					age 0	age of the house (in years)	(in years)					number of sample
	sample	0-2	2-3	Ž.	<u> </u>	7—10	10—15	15—20	07 07	70—60	60 & above	total	Polds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(5)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
				(а	(a) base : al	: al! households	φ						
excellent	-	2-74	5.50	2.06	89.0	1.03	69.0	0.34	0.34	99.0	0.34	11.30	33
	7	0.92	:	1.22	0.30	0.31	0.30	:	:	:		3.05	01
	comb.	2.40	96-1	<u>-</u>	0.62	68.0	0.62	0.28	0.28	95.0	0.28	62-6	43
fairly good and need no major	1	3.42	6.51	11.99	9.93	6-17	4.45	1.7.1	2.40	:	89.0	47.26	138
repair.	7	21.04	5.49	91.6	8.84	7.92	12.9	1.83	3.05	0.3)	1.22	91.99	217
	сошр.	99.9	6.32	11.57	9.73	6.49	4.87	1.73	2.52	90.0	82.0	50.73	355
bad, dilapidated and improvised	1	4.11	3.08	10.61	10 · 62	4.79	6.17	1.03	1.03	:	:	41.44	121
	14	5.48	2:13	× 55	6.71	4.27	1.83	0.61	0.30	0.31	:	30.79	101
	comb.	4.36	3.02	10.24	06.6	4.70	5.36	0.95	06.0	0.0	:	39.48	222
total	-	10.27	11.99	24.66	21-23	11.99	11.31	3.08	3.77	89.0	1.02	100.50	292
	7	27.44	8.23	19.52	15.85	12.50	8.84	2.44	3.35	0.61	1.23	100.00	323
	сошр.	13-42	11.30	23.71	20.25	12.08	10.85	2.96	3.70	0.67	1.06	100.00	620
number of sample households	-	30.	35	27.	79	35	33	6	=	CI	3	292	:
	7	06	27	† 9	52	-	65	x	Ξ	C1	4	328	
	comb.	120	62	136	=======================================	92	62	1.		*1	- 1	0.9	

TABLE (A2) 5 2-28 CENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY AGE OF THE HOUSE FOR OWNED HOUSES

Union Territory: Tripura-Rural

Condition of structure Satisphe Condition of structure Condition of s	number of sample villages: 192										runu t	number of sample households: 620	c househol	ds : 620
Sample (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (5) (5) (6) (7) (6) (6) (7) (6) (6) (7) (6) (6) (7) (6) (7) (7) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7	and the second second	<u>۔ د</u>					, 30 oğ :	the Samort	ie. r.)				e e	ample,
(13) (13) (14) (15) (16) (17) (18) (18) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19		sample	0-2	2 -3	i I	<u>د - </u>	2—10	10—15	15—20	20—;0	.:0-60	60 & above	torel	holds.
Heat	(1)	(3)	(3)	(+)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(6)	(6)	(0;)	(11)	(13)	(13)	(f)
First						(b) bas	e : househ	olds by age	group					
comb. 17-92 17-33 8-02 3-04 7-41 5-07 6-43 7-58 83-34 26-32 9-79 good and need no major 1 333-33 54-29 48-61 46-77 51-43 39-39 55-56 63-64 6-15 6-67 47-26 comb. 49-58 55-94 48-82 48-07 53-70 44-85 52-49 68-18 8-33 73-69 comb. 32-30 26-73 43-16 48-82 38-89 49-48 33-32-08 21-24 8-33 73-69 comb. 100-00 100-0	exce lient	. 7	3.33	20.00	8.33	3.23	8.57	6.05	11-11	60.6	100.001	33-33	11.30	33
A combined moded no major 1 33-33 54-29 48-61 46-77 51-43 39-39 55-56 63-64 63-6		comb.	17.92	17-33	8.02	3.04	7.41	5.67	9.43	.58	83.34	26.32	9.70	43
dilapidated and improvised 1 49 '58 55 '94 48 '82 48 77 63 '41 75 86 75 '00 90 '91 50 '00 100 '00 66 '16 dilapidated and improvised 1 40 '00 25 '71 45 '06 50 '00 40 '00 54 '55 33 '33 27 '27 47 '8 50 '73 comb. 100 '00	fairly good and need no major	-	33-33	54-29	48.61	11: 91	51.43	39 39	55.56	63 64		19.99	47 · 26	38:
dilapidated and improvised 1 49 58 55 94 48 82 48 07 53 70 44 85 52 49 68 18 8 73 73 77 77 77 77 77 77 74 4144 2 20.000 23-71 45.06 50.00 40 00 54 53 33 3 27 27 77 74 4144 comb. 32 50 26 73 43 75 42 31 34 15 20 69 69 59 69 50 00 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70		7	76.67	29.99	\$0.00	55-77	63.41	75 86	00.52	16.06	20.00	100.00	91.99	217
dilapidated and improvised 1 40 000 25-71 43·06 50·000 40 00 54 55 33 33 27·27 41·44 comb. 32·50 26·73 43·16 48·89 38·89 49·48 32·08 24·24 8·33 39·48 comb. 100·00		comb.	49 · 58	55.94	48.82	48 07	53 · 70	44 85	52.49	68 · 18	8.33	73.68	50 · 73	355
comb. 32 - 50 33 - 33 43 - 75 42 - 31 34 - 15 20 - 69 50 - 69 50 - 69 50 - 69 50 - 69 50 - 69 50 - 69 50 - 79 30 - 79 comb. 32 - 56 26 - 73 43 - 16 48 - 89 38 - 89 49 - 48 32 - 68 54 - 24 8 - 33 30 - 78 comb. 100 - 00	bad, dilapidated and improvised	-	00.0+	17-52	43.06	50.00	40 00	54 55	33 33	72.72	:	:	41.44	121
comb. 32·50 26·73 43·16 48·89 38·89 49·48 32·08 24·24 8·33 39·48 comb. 100·00 100·		7	20.00	33-33	43 - 75	42.31	34-15	20.69	25.00	60.6	20.00	:	30 - 79	101
comb. 100*00 </td <td></td> <td>comb.</td> <td>32.50</td> <td>26.73</td> <td>43.16</td> <td>48.89</td> <td>38.89</td> <td>46 48</td> <td>32.08</td> <td>24.24</td> <td>8 · 33</td> <td>:</td> <td>39 · 48</td> <td>222</td>		comb.	32.50	26.73	43.16	48.89	38.89	46 48	32.08	24.24	8 · 33	:	39 · 48	222
2 100°00	total	-	00.001	100.00	00.001	00.001	100 · 00	00 001	00 001	107-03	00.001	100 00	00.001	39 5
comb. 100·00 </td <td></td> <td>CI.</td> <td>100.00</td> <td>100.00</td> <td>100.00</td> <td>100.00</td> <td>100.001</td> <td>100.00</td> <td>00.001</td> <td>100 00</td> <td>00 c01</td> <td>100.00</td> <td>100 00</td> <td>328</td>		CI.	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.001	100.00	00.001	100 00	00 c01	100.00	100 00	328
. 1 30 35 72 62 35 33 9 11 2 3 2 90 27 64 52 41 29 8 11 2 4 comb. 120 62 136 114 76 62 17 22 4 7		comb.	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100•001	00 001	00.001	(0 001	100 00	100 00	100 00	620
90 27 64 52 41 29 8 11 2 4 120 62 136 114 76 62 17 22 4 7	number of sample households	-	ος:	35	27	62	35	33	6	Ξ	C1	3	262	
120 62 136 114 76 62 17 22 4 7		сı	0ò	દા	Z	52	7	95	œ	Ξ	C1	7	328	
		comb.	120	62	136	Ť	46	62	<u> </u>	អ	म	t'~	620	

. 620

sample households

ç

number

TABLE (A2.6): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY AGE OF THE HOUSE FOR OWNED HOUSES

Union Territory: Tripura-Rural

number of sample house-holds 8 B 232 328 9 138 217 355 2 33 5 \$ <u>(14</u> 620 100.00 292 100.00 100.00 328 100.00 00.001 100.00 100.00 00.001 00.001 100.00 00.001 100.00 (13)iotal & above 1.22 90:1 1.02 1.84 1.54 **3.8**6 1.45 3.03 : (12)ဇ္ 66.0 0.14 9.68 0.67 0.61 0.46 0.11 90.9 5.71 $\widehat{\Xi}$ 10 - 00 22 3.35 3.70 = 0.993.77 Ξ 2.48 2.27 4.96 2.86 5.07 4.61 3.03 (10) 9 3.08 2.4 2.96 1 1.98 2.41 3.42 5.863.62 3.03 15-20 <u>(6)</u> (c) base: households by structure group 10.85 23 62 8.84 33 5.94 13.57 11-31 6.47 10.16 9.59 14.88 00.01 6.2990.9 10 15 8 age of the house (in years) 12.08 16 11.90 11.99 12.50 33 12.79 11.57 13.86 41 11.98 10.00 13.04 t! ·6 7—10 9 15.85 20.25 114 19.19 6 22 21.78 25.07 21 - 23 25.61 13.36 00.01 6.39 9 136 77 2 22.82 25.36 14.74 18.18 90.04 19.42 19.52 23.71 25.92 24.66 25.62 27.73 (3) 8.23 11.30 35 H 62 12.46 4.4 8.91 7.65 11.99 13.77 8.29 20.00 21.21 \mathfrak{T} 13.42 8 120 27.44 30 13.12 9.92 17.82 11.05 10.27 24.57 7.25 31.80 30.00 $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}$ 24-25 0 comp. comb. comp. comp. subcomp. 3 fairly good and need no major repair bad, dilapidated and improvised number of sample villages: 192 wher of sample households condition of structure $\widehat{\Xi}$ excellent otal

TABLE (A2.7): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY AGE OF THE HOUSE FOR ALL HOUSES

Union Territory: Tripura-Rural

number of sample house-holds number of sample households: 624 5 138 356 123 102 225 294 330 624 **3** 11.22 3.03 9.72 46.94 90.99 50.45 41.84 100.00 39.83 100.00 30.91 100.00 330 294 624 (13) total 0.34 0.28 0.78 1.06 1.21 1.21 60 & above (12) 89.0 0.55 0.30 90.0 9.0 9.68 0.67 0.31 0.61 Ξ \$ 8 0.34 0.28 3.03 2.50 1.02 0.36 68.0 3.74 3.67 Ξ 23 207 (01) 0.28 0.34 2.43 1.70 1.72 1.02 3.08 2.94 1.82 0.61 0.94 17 15-20 age of the house (in years) 9 0.30 0.61 8.79 11.06 29.9 4.84 1.82 4.42 6.47 5.61 3 29 63 10-15 8 68.0 1.02 0.31 12-43 6.12 7.88 6.44 5.11 4.94 12.25 12-27 4.24 36 77 7-10 3 (a) base: all households 0.30 89.0 16.06 0.61 10.54 20.16 9.72 9.83 S 115 60.6 **6.67** 9.87 53 5-7 9 5 1.21 1.89 24.49 19.39 23.56 10.17 2 136 11.50 10.54 ढ 11.91 375 3 2.38 1.94 8.48 11.28 3.06 6.46 5.45 6.28 11.90 63 3.03 2-3 Ŧ 2.39 2.72 0.91 10.20 13.33 4.33 27.27 4.08 5.45 20.91 6.61 j 8 120 200 **©** sub-sample comb. ccmb comb. comb. comb. 3 airly 3000 and nædino major repair number of sample villages: 192 bad, dilapidated and improvised number of sample households condidtion of structure Ξ excellent total 5-15 C.S.O. (N.D.)/69

: 624

o

TABLE (A2.8): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY AGE OF THE HOUSE FOR ALL HOUSES.

Union Territory: Tripura-Rural

number of sample house-holds 356 294 330 123 102 225 624 10 5 <u>\$</u> sample households 41.84 100.00 100.00 39.83 100.00 90.99 50.45 30.91 330 624 294 (33) total 26.32 33-33 100.00 100.00 100.00 00.00 60 & above (17) number 100.00 8.33 7.27 50.00 8.33 100.00 00.00 100.00 9 83.34 20.00 Ξ 60.6 68.18 9.09 24.24 100.00 100.00 1.58 63.64 27.27 29 49 100.00 90.91 (10) 1 2 15—20 11.11 00.00 33.33 25.00 32.08 9.43 55.56 58.49 100.00 75.00 100.00 17 9 age group of the house (in years) 20.69 <u>5</u> 3.45 43.72 55.87 50.75 100.00 5.53 38.24 75.86 100.00 63 100.00 3 34 8 (b) base: households by 100.00 100.00 7 2.4 34.15 100.00 36 7 7.24 52.49 40.27 63.41 7—10 0 100.00 115 00.00 100.00 1.89 62 53 3.03 41.51 46-77 26.60 48.21 77 9 100.00 136 100.00 6.25 100.00 43.75 \$ 8.02 48.82 31.5 9 100.00 100.00 63 27.09 00.00 35 28 20.00 35.71 25.71 55.67 7 € 100.00 22 40.00 20.00 32.50 00.00 100.00 8 8 26.67 17.92 7 29.92 49.58 3 subcomb. Comb. comb. 3 comb. comb. fairly good and need no major repair number of sample villages: 192 bad, dilapidated and improvised number of sample households condition of structure $\widehat{\Xi}$ excellent total

TABLE (A2.9): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE AND BY AGE OF THE HOUSE FOR ALL HOUSES

Union Territory: Tripura-Rural

number of sample villages: 192

condition of eterorius						age of the E	age of the house (in years)	ars)					number
	sub- sample	0—2	2—3	3—5	5-7	7—10	10—15	15—20	20—40	40—60	60 & above	total	of sample house holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
				(c) b	ase: house	holds by st	(c) base: households by structure group	dr					
éxœllent	р - 4	24.25	21.21	18.18	90.9	60.6	90.9	3.03	3.03	90.9	3.03	100.00	33
	7	30.00	:	40.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	:	:	:	:	100.00	10
	comb.	24.57	20.00	19.42	6.29	9.14	6.29	2.86	2.86	5.71	2.86	100.00	43
fairly good and need no major repair	pair 1	7.25	13.77	25.36	21.02	13.64	9.42	3.62	5.07	:	1.45	100.00	138
	7	31.65	8.26	14.68	13.76	11.93	10.09	2-75	4.59	0.46	1.83	100.00	218
	comb.	13-11	12.44	22.80	19.27	12.78	9.58	3.41	4.96	0.11	1.54	100.00	356
bad, dilapidated and improvised		9.76	7 · 32	25.20	25.20	12.19	15-45	2.44	2:4	:	:	100.00	123
	7	17.65	08.6	27.45	21.57	13.73	5.88	1.96	0.98	86.0	:	100.00	102
	comb.	13.32	11.28	23.56	20.17	12.27	11.06	2.94	3.67	29.0	1.06	100.00	225
total		10.20	11.90	24-49	21.09	12.25	11.57	3.06	3.74	89.0	1.02	100.00	294
	7	27.27	8 · 48	19.39	16.06	12-43	8.79	2.43	3-33	0.61	1.21	100.00	330
	comb.	13.33	11 · 28	23.56	20.16	12.27	11.06	2.94	3.67	19.0	1.06	100.00	624
number of sample households		30	35	72	62	36	34	6	=	2	3	294	
	7	8	28	2	53	41	29	œ	11	4	4	330	
	comb.	120	63	136	115	77	63	17	13	4	7	624	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •													

TABLE (A2.10): ESTIMATED COST OF REPAIRS AND MAINTENANCE PER HOUSEHOLD IN RUPEES BY AGE OF THE HOUSE AND BY CONDITION OF STRUCTURE

UNION TERRITORY: TRIPURA-RURAL

number of sample villages: 192

no. of sample households: 624

age of the house				CO1	ndition of stru	cture		number of
(in years)			sub- sample	excellent	fairly good needing no major repair	bad, dilapi- dated and improvised	total	sample house- holds
	(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
0-2		•	. 1 2 comb.	196·88 10·00 183·84	66*00 30·03 45·14	45·67 4·50 36·17	92·77 24·26 67·08	30 90 120
2-3		•	. 1 2 comb.	21·43 21·43	3·68 9·44 4·60	12·22 10·89 12·00	9·43 9·93 9·50	35 28 63
3— 5	• •	•	. 1 2 comb.	10·00 8·82	4·71 16·47 6·53	10·06 11·32 10·26	7·46 13·19 8·32	72 64 136
5— 7	• •	•	. 1 2 comb.	••	5·24 17·59 7·30	9·27 24·95 11·22	7·09 20·37 8·99	62 53 115
7—10	• •	•	. 1 2 comb.	26·67 25·00	25·33 22·31 24·66	6·57 20·00 8·81	17·94 20·98 18·52	36 41 77
10—15	• •	•	. 1 2 comb.	200·00 181·82	3·81 30·50 10·56	7·06 21·29 7·95	17·47 27·54 18·98	34 29 63
15—20		•	. 1 2 comb.	15·00 16·00	10·00 45·17 16·81	13·33 32·50 15·59	11·67 42·00 16·25	9 8 17
20—40	• •	•	. 1 2 comb.	••	22·14 23·20 22·38	16·67 43·00 18·31	18·64 25·00 19·70	11 11 22
40—60		•	. 1 2 comb.	••	90·00 90·00	41·00 41·00	13·10 10·92	2 2 4
60 & above .	• •	•	. 1 2 comb.	••	22·25 6·36	••	22·25 6·89	3 4 7
all	• •	•	. 1 2 comb.	69·09 3·00 65·31	12·74 24·02 15·43	12·94 15·86 13·36	19·19 20·87 19·50	294 330 624
number of sample h	ouseholds	•	. 1 2 comb.	33 10 43	138 218 356	123 102 225	294 330 624	

TABLE (A2.11): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER AND BY MONTHLY HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE LEVELS

Union Territory: Tripura-Rural

number of sample villages: 192 number of sample households: 624

source of drinking water	sub- sam-	m	onthly ho	usehold ex	penditur	e in rupe	es	***			number of - sample
Source of diffacing water	ple	0-25	26—50	51—100	101— 200	201— 300	301 400	401— 500	501 & above	all levels	house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
			(a) : 1	oase all	househo	olds					
municipal tap	1	• •	• •	• • •	••	• •	• •	••	• •		•••
	2	• •	• •	••	• •	• •	••	• •	••	••	• •
	comb.	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
well	1	0.68		2 · 38	1 · 70	0.34	••	0.34		5.44	16
	2	••	0.30	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	••	0.30	1
	comb.	0.55	0.06	1.94	1.39	0.28	••	0.28	••	4.50	17
tube well	1	0.34	4.42	19.39	21 · 43	2·38	0.68			48.64	143
	2	0.30	4.85	28 · 18	30.91	4.54	2.43	0.61	1.21	73·03	241
	comb.	0.33	4 · 50	21.00	23 · 17	2·78	1.00	0.11	0.22	53 · 11	3 84
tank and pond	. 1	••		2.38	1 · 36	1.02	• •		• •	4.76	14
	2	••	••	0.30	0.61	• •	••	• •	• •	0.91	3
,	comb.	••	• •	2.00	1.23	0.83	••	• •	••	4.06	17
river, lakes or spring .	1		3·40	15.99	10.55	0.68	• •	••		30.62	90
	2	••	0.61	3.33	3.94	2.12	••	••	• •	10.00	33
	comb.	• ••	2.89	13.67	9.33	0.94	••	• •	• •	26.83	12:
others	1		0.34	6.46	3.74			• •		10.54	31
	2	0.30	2.42	6.37	6.06	0.31		••	0.30	15.76	5 52
	comb	0.06	0.72	6-44	4.16	0.06	••	••	0.06	11.50	82
total	1	1.02	8.16	46.60	38 · 78	4.42	0.68	0.34		100.00	29.
	2	0.60	8.18	38-18	41.52	6.97	2.43	0.61	1.51		
	comb				39.28	4.89	1.00	0.39		100.00	
number of sample house-	. 1	3	24	137	114	13	2	1	•••	294	_
holds	2	2	27	126	137	23	8	2	5		
	comb	. 5	51	263	251	36	10	3	5		

TABLE (A2.12) : PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER AND BY MONTHLY HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE LEVELS

Union Territory: Tripura-Rural

	sub-	1	monthly I	nousehold	I expendi	ture in r	upees				number
source of drinking water	sam-	0-25	26-50	51100	101— 200	201— 300	301 409	401— 500	501 & above	all levels	number - of sample house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
		(b)	base: he	ouscholds	by expe	enditure l	level				
municipal tap	1	• •				• •	••	X - A - A		* V #	
	2	••	••	••				••		••	••
	comb.	••	••	••,	••	••	••	••		••	••
									······································		
well	1	66 · 67	••	5-11	4.39	7.69	• •	100.00	••	5.44	1
	2	. • •	3.70	••	••	••	••	••	• •	0.30	1
	comb.	58.82	0.68	4.32	3 · 54	5· 68	• •	71 · 43	• •	4.50	17
tube well	1	33 · 33	54 · 17	41.60	55.26	53.85	100.00			48 · 64	143
	2	50.00	59-26	73.81	74.45	65.22	100.00	100.00	80.00	73 · 03	241
	comb.	35.30	55 · 10	46.61	58.98	56.82	100.00	28 · 57	80.00	53 · 11	384
tank and pond	1		••	5.11	3.51	23.08				4.76	14
	2	••	••	0.79	1.46	• •	• •	••	• •	0.91	3
	comb.	••	• •	4.44	3-11	17.04	••	• •	••	4.06	17
river, lakes or spring	1		41 · 67	34-31	27 · 19	15.38				30.62	90
	2	• •	7.41	8 · 73	9.49	30.43	••	••	••	10.00	33
	comb.	••	35.37	30.33	23.76	19.32	••	• •	••	26.83	123
others	1		4.16	13.87	9.65	••	• •	• •	. •	10.54	31
	2	50.00	29.63	16-67	14.60	4.35		••	20.00	15.76	52
	comb.	5 ·88	8.85	14.30	10-61	1.14	••	••	20.00	11.50	83
total	1	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	294
	2	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100 · CO	100 00	100-00	100 · C0	330
	comb.	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	624
number of sample house	- 1	3	24	137	114	13	2	· 1	• •	294	
holds	2	2	27	126	137	23	8	2	5	330	
	comb.	5	51	263	251	36	10	3	5	624	

ABLE (A2.13): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER AND BY MONTHLY HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE LEVELS

Union Territory: Tripura-Rural

number of sample villages: 192

annes of deighine water	sub-			monthly f	ousehol	d expend	it ure in r	upees			number of
source of drinking water	sam- ple	025	2550	51-100	101 200	201 300	301 400	401 500	501 & above	all levels	-sample house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
			(c) b	ase : hou	seholds	by source)		
municipal tap	. 1		• •		••				••		••
	2	••	••	• •	••	••	• •	••	••	• •	
	comb.	• •	• •	••	••	• •	• •	••	••		• •
well	. 1	12-50		43 · 75	31 · 25	6.25		6.25	• •	100.00	 10
	2		100.00	• •	••			••	••	100.00	1
	comb.	12.35	1.23	43 · 21	30.87	6.17		6.17	• •	100.00	17
tube well	. 1	0.70	9.09	39.86	44.06	4.89	1.40	• •	,	100.00	143
	2	0.41	6.64	38.59	42.32	6.23	3.32	0.83	1.66	100 00	241
	comb.	0.63	8 · 47	39.54	43.62	5 · 23	1.88	0.21	0.42	100.00	384
tank and pond .	. 1	• •		50.00	28.57	21.43	• •			100.00	14
	2	••	••	33.33	66.67	••	• •			100.00	3
	comb.	• •	• •	49.32	30-14	20.54	••	••	• •	100.00	17
river, lakes or spring	1		11.11	52.22	34.45	2.22			••	100.00	90
	2	••	6.06	33.34	39-39	21 · 21	• •	••	••	100.00	33
	comb.	••	10.77	50.93	34.78	3.52	••	••	••	100.00	123
others	1	••	3 · 23	61.29	35.48	• •		••	•••	 100·00	31
	2	1.92	15.39	40.39	38-46	1.92	• •	• •	1.92	100.00	5.2
	comb.	0.48	6.28	5 6 · 0 4	36.24	0.48	••	. . .		100.00	83
total	1	1.02	8.16	46.60	38 · 78	4.42	0.68	0.34	••	 100·00	294
•	2	0.60	8 · 18	38-18	41.52	6.97	2.43	0.61		100.00	330
•	comb.	0.94	8 · 17	45.05	39·28	4.89	1.00	0.39		100.00	624
number of sample house-	1	3	24	137	114	13	2	1		294	
holds	2	2	27	126	137	23	8	2	5	330	
	comb.	5	51	263	251	36	10	3	5	624	

TABLE (A2.14): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER AND DISTANCE FROM SOURCE

UNION TERRITORY: TRIPURA-RURAL

number of sample villages: 192

	•		distance f	rom the s	ource in yar	đs		number
source of drinking water	sub- sample	within premises	upto 50	51—100	101—400	over 400	total	of sample house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
		(a) base	e ; all house	holds				
And the second s	1	• •	••	• •	• •	••	•••	• •
nunicipal tap	. 2	••	••	••	• •	••	••	• •
	comb.	••	••	••	••	••	• •	••
well	. 1	1.02	••	••	1.70	2·72	5.44	16
	2	••	••	0.30	• •	••	0.30	1
	comb.	0.83	••	0.06	1.39	2.22	4 · 50	17
tube-well	. 1	2.72	17.69	6.81	13.94	7.48	48 • 64	143
	2	2.42	16.67	27 •88	11-21	14.85	73.03	241
	comb.	2.67	17.50	10.66	13.44	8 · 84	53-11	384
tank and pond	. 1	0.34	1.02	3.40	••	••	4.76	14
	2	••	0.61	0.30	••	• •	0.91	3
	comb.	0.28	0.95	2.83	••	••	4.06	17
river, lakes or spring .	. 1 2	••	2·38 1·51	3·06 4·85	14·29 1·82	10·89 1·82	30·62 10·00	90
	comb.	••	2·22	3-39	12.00	9· 2 2	26.83	123
others	. 1		3.06	4.08		1.70	10.54	31
	2	0.61	2.73	3.64	2.12	6.66	15.76	52
	comb.	0.11	3.00	4.00	1.78	2.61	11.50	83
total	. 1	4.08	24 · 15	17.35	31.63	22.79	100.00	294
	2	3.03	21.52	36.97	15.15	23.33	100.00	330
	comb.	3.89	23.67	20.94	28.61	22.89	100.00	624
number of sample households	. 1	13	70	51	93	67	294	
	2	10	71	122	50	77	330	
	comb.	. 23	141	173	143	144	624	

TABLE (A2·15) : PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER AND DISTANCE FROM SOURCE

Union Territory: Tripura—Rural

number of sample villages: 192

assume of deinking system	l		distar	nce from the	source in y	ards		number
source of drinking water	sub- sample	within premises	upto 50	51—100	101—400	over 400	total	of sample house holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
The second secon	(b) ba	ise: househol	ds by dista	ince group				
municipal tap	. 1	•					••	
	2	• •	• •	• •	• •			
	comb.	••		••			••	
well	. 1	25.00		••	5.38	11.94	5.44	10
	2			0.82			0.30	
	comb.	20.00	••	0.27	4.85	9.71	4.50	1
tube-well	. 1	66.67	73.23	39.22	44.09	32.84	48 · 64	14
	2	80.00	77.46	75.41	74.00	63.64	73.03	24
	comb.	68 · 57	73.95	50.92	46.99	38.59	53.11	38
tank and pond	. 1	8 · 33	4.23	19.61			4.76	 14
	2	••	2.82	0.82	• •		0.91	•
	comb.	7 · 15	3.99	13.53			4.06	1
river, lakes or spring .	. 1		9.86	17.65	45.15	47.76	30.62	9
	2		7.04		12.00	7 ·79	10.00	3:
	comb.		9.39		41.95			12
others	. 1		12.68	23.52	5.38	7·46	10.54	3)
	2		12.68		14.00		15.76	52
	comb.	2.85	12.67	19·10	6.21	11.41	11.50	8:
total	. 1	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	294
	2	100.00	100.00	100.00			100.00	33(
	comb.	100.00	100.00			100.00	100.00	624
number of sample households	. 1	13	70	51	93	67	294	
	2	10	71	122	50	77	330 ~	
	comb.	23	141	173	143	144	624	

TABLE (A2·16): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER AND DISTANCE FROM SOURCE

UNION TERRITORY: TRIPURA—RURAL

number of sample villages: 192

source of drinking water	sub-		dista	nce from the	e source in y	ards		number
source of driftking water	sample	within premises	upto 50	51—100	101400	over 400	total	of sample house- holds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
		(c) base:	household	s by source	- television de la companya de la co			•
municipal tap	. 1		• •	•••	• •			
	2							
	comb.		••	••	••	• •		• •
well	. 1	18.75	•••		31.25	50.00	100.00	16
	2			100.00		• •	100.00	1
	comb.	18.52		1.23	30.87	49.38	100.00	17
tube-well	. 1	5.59	36.36	13.99	28.67	15.39	100.00	143
	2	3-32	22.82	38-18	15.33	20.33	100.00	241
	comb.	5.02	32.95	20.09	25.31	16-63	100.00	384
tank and pond	. 1	7.14	21.43	71·43			100.00	
tank and pond	2		66.67		• •	• •	100.00	14
	comb.	6·85	23.29	33·33 69·86	• •	• •	100.00	3
	·	0.00	23.29	09.90	• •	• •	100.00	17
river, lakes or spring	. 1		7.78	10.00	46.67	35.55	100.00	90
	2		15.15	48.49	18-18	18.18	100.00	33
	comb.	• •	8 · 29	12.62	44.72	34.37	100.00	123
others	. 1		29.03	38.71	16.13	16.13	100.00	31
	2	3.85	17-31	23.07	13.46	42.31	100.00	52
	comb.	0.97	2 6· 0 9	34·7 8	15.46	22.70	100 · 00	83
total	. 1	4.08	21.15	17.35	31.63	22.79	100.00	294
	2	3.03	21.52	36.97	15.15	23.33	100.00	330
	comb.	3.89	23 · 67	20.94	28.61	22.89	100.00	624
number of sample households	. 1	13	70	51	93	67	294	
	2	10	71	122	50	77	330	
•	comb.	23	141	173	143	144	624	

APPENDIX B

Sampling Design and Method of Estimation

- B1. Information on Housing Condition formed a part of the Consumer Expenditure Enquiry (Schedule 1-0). The sampling design and estimation procedure followed for housing are the same as those followed for schedule 1-0. It may be pointed out that as the present report deals with the rural sector of Union Territories of Manipur & Tripura only sampling design adopted for rural areas is given. Since the villages selected for the eighteenth round were to be taken up for this survey also, the sampling design was the same as that of the eighteenth round.
- B2. General: The sampling design in the rural sector was stratified two-stage in which the first stage units were the villages and the second-stage units were the households from which information was collected. The sampling design was self-weighting for each sub-sample at the level of each Union Territory.
- B3. Stratification: Strata were formed within each Union Territory by grouping together contiguous sub-divisions/tehsils (sub-division in Manipur and tehsils in Tripura) or parts of contiguous sub-divisions/tehsils such that the sum of the sizes of the villages in each stratum was exactly equal. The "size" adopted was 1961 census population of the village rounded off to the next higher multiple of 1000. While forming strata, the transport facilities available between adjacent sub-divisions/tehsils were also taken into consideration. 8 strata were formed in each Union Territory. The allocation of 192 villages was made in each Union Territory.
- B4. Selection of villages: Within each stratum 4 independent interpenetrating sub-samples of 6 villages each were selected circular systematically with probability proportional to the "size" of the villages. In some of the big sample villages, the number of hamlet groups to be formed was specified in the sample list. In each such village the investigator was to form the specified number of hamlet groups of approximately equal population content and select one with equal probability for survey. The selected hamlet group was taken up for both the general enquiries of the eighteenth round for Scheduled Tribe survey.
- B5. Selection of households: Within each sample village or hamlet group, a linear sample of households was selected from among those households which had the head of the household belonging to a Scheduled Tribe after arranging those households by household size 1 to 4 and 5 and above. The sampling interval and random start to be used for selection in each village had been fixed so as to make the design self-weighting within each union territory.
- B6. Work programme: The survey period for the whole round was one complete year divided into 6 sub-rounds of 2 months each. In each sub-sample of a stratum the 6 sample villages were numbered from 1 to 6 according to their order of selection. Each sample village was visited and surveyed only once in the whole round. The field work of the survey started from the third sub-round of the eighteenth round of NSS in June 1963 and continued upto the end of May 1964, covering a period of one complete year.
- B7. Sub-samples 1 and 3 were surveyed by one party of investigators and sub-samples 2 and 4 by another.
- B8. Estimation procedure: Since the design adopted was self-weighting within each. Union Territory estimated of total (T) for a territory for a characteristic from a sub-sample for the whole round is given by

$$T = \begin{matrix} k & 6 & h_{s\,i} \\ M & \Sigma & \Sigma & \Sigma & y_{s\,i\,j} \\ s = 1 & i = 1 & j = 1 \end{matrix}$$

where J denotes the j th sample household

i ,, i th sample village

s ,, s th stratum

k , number of strata in the territory

h , number of households selected

y ,, value of the characteristic

M, multiplier for the territory.

Thus, y_{sij} is the value of characteristic of the s th stratum, i th sample village and j th sample households and M is given by

 $M = I_1 \times I_2$

where I_1 , is the interval for village selection and I_2 is that for household selection.

B9. Sub-sample estimates:

If T_1 and T_2 are the two independent sub-sample estimates of total, the combined sub-sample estimate T is

$$T = \frac{1}{2} (T_1 + T_2)$$
(2)

B10. Table (B1.1) gives the distribution of sample villages allotted and households surveyed by Union Territory (Maniput & Tripura) in rural areas.

TABLE (B1·1): DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLE VILLAGES (ALLOTTED) AND SAMPLE HOUSEHOLDS (SURVEYED)* FOR UNION TERRITORIES—MANIPUR & TRIPURA, NSS 18TH ROUND, SCHEDULE-1·0

Sample/Union Territory	sub-sample	Mainpur	Tripura
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
ample villages	s1	96	96
	s2	96	96
	comb.	192	192
ample households	s1	205	294
	s2	174	330
	comb.	379	624

^{*}expected.

APPENDIX C

EXTRACTS FROM INSTRUCTIONS TO FIELD WORKERS

NSS Eighteenth Round

Housing Condition

C1. Data on "Housing Condition" were collected through Household Schedule 1-0: Consumer Expenditure. Extracts from the Instructions to Field Workers are reproduced only for the relevant items which were used for tabulation.

Section Five: Household Schedule 1.0: Consumer Expenditure

(Housing Condition)

- 5.2.8 Item 8: Average monthly household expenditure: An estimate of average monthly household expenditure incurred towards non-productive domestic consumption only should be recorded here. The average may be taken over the last twelve months in nearest rupees.
- 5.18 Block 18: Housing Condition: The definition of the dwelling house will be the same as that followed in the 17th round of the NSS, and collection of data will be as before be restricted to residential housing of the sample households. For the purpose of this block, a dwelling house shall include any structurally separated room or suite of rooms in permanent structure used or intended for habitation by family households and having a separate access to a street or to a common passage or stairway. Detached room or rooms for habitation which are clearly intended to form a part of the dwelling shall also be counted as part of the dwelling house.
- 5.18.1 Item 1: Condition of structure: Against this item is to be recorded the physical condition of the house in the sense whether the house is good for habitation and needs no immediate repairs; fairly good and needs no major repairs; bad and old and needs immediate major repairs or structural changes; and improvised one, not suitable for permanent habitation at all. Major repairs will mean such essential repairs of the house without which the house is risky or very unhealthy for human habitation. The entries are to be made in code numbers given in the schedule.
- 5.18.2 Item 2: The age of the dwelling in which the sample household is located is to be entered against this item in completed number of years. For example, if the age is less than a year, record "O"; if the age is 4 years 7 months it will be counted as 4 years; if it is 4 years 1 month, it will also be counted as 4 years, and so on. Further in recording the age, the year of completion of the original structure is to be taken into consideration; the age of any small additions to the original structure is not wanted. But it may so happen that the original structure is insignificant to the additions made after some years of the completion of the original one. In such cases of substantial structural additions on the ground floor, the age of the additional structure is to be recorded against this item but in the case of vertical additions the age of the structure on the ground floor should be taken into consideration. A difficulty may arise in getting the age from a respondent household if it happens to be a tenant one; in such cases all possible means are to be tapped to get the correct information.
- 5.18.3 Item 3 and 4: Drinking water: If more than one source is used, the one used most frequently and its distance should be recorded in code. For "source of drinking water", see the codes on the body of the schedule. Some of the terms used in this connection are explained below.

The term municipal tap is used in a broad sense and should include any source of drinking water managed and controlled by a public body, e.g., a water-supply undertaking, a municipality, a notified area, a cantonment board, a gram panchayat or Government.

A protected tube-well (either power or hand operated) should be situated at least 10 ft. away from a latrine and the space surrounding it should be a cement construction.

A protected well should be situated at least 10 ft. away from a latrine and should be surrounded by a pucca wall; the space surrounding it should be of cement construction.

The distance codes are self-explanatory. "Within premises" refers to within campus or boundary wall.

- 5.18.4 Item 5 and 6: Whether the house is rented or not, is to be recorded against item 5, and if rented, the monthly rent is to be recorded against item 6. Where the house is free of rent it should be treated as not rented and in such cases code 2 should be entered against item 5 and item 6 should show a "o" entry. If the dwelling is owned by the household even though the house-site on which it stands is leased in, the house should not be reported as rented and code 2 should be entered against item 5.
- 5.18.5 Item 7: Cost of repairs and maintenance: The total expenditure incurred by the sample household towards repairs and maintenance of the dwelling house during the year preceding the date of survey would be entered against this item.

APPENDIX	D :	FACSIMILE	OF	SCHEDULE	OF	INVESTIGATION	
APPENDIX	D :	FACSIMILE	OF	SCHEDULE	OF	INVESTIGATION	
APPENDIX	D:	FACSIMILE	OF	SCHEDULE	OF	INVESTIGATION	
APPENDIX	D:	FACSIMILE	OF	SCHEDULE	OF	INVESTIGATION	

CENTRAL*

ORIGINAL.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY: 1962-63 HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE I 0: CONSUMER EXPENDITURE

RURAL*

Eighteenth Round

	(1) identification of sample	household				(2) household characteristics				
1. 8	erial number	9. state .			1.	household siz	.c	. i		
2. %	no-state-region	10. district				household ind	ustry-co-opn, code			
3. 1	tratum/sector*	11. tchsil talt	uk/thana/to	wn*		household type				
4. s	ub-sample	12. village/wa circle b	rd. IV. unit lock* .	-block/	charge- 4.	household live	lihood type code.			
	mple village, block*	13. hamlet(s)				RL(1)/non-RL	(2) ,	_		
6 51	ib-round	14. house nur	nber .		6.	household land	cultivated(acres 0			
7. C	PA classification**	15. name of 1	read .			name of tribe		.		
8. (i	order of selection	16. name of 1				arrage monthl	y household exper	1.11-1		
) sample household	17. informant	s relation	to hea	d	per capita mon	thly household expe			
		(3) partic	ulars of inv	vestiga	tion			***************************************		
srl. no.	item	i	nvestigator		inspec	tor	field scrut	iniser		
(1)	(2)		(3)			(4)	(5)			
1	roll number									
$\frac{2}{3}$	date of survey/inspection/scrutiny .									
	date of regiot/despatch				· 					
A					The second secon					
4			 ,							
5	number of strutiny sheets attached									
5			rsing cond	tion			\			
5 6	number of strutiny sheets attached		code or actual	tion (srl., no.		item		code or actual		
6	number of strutiny sheets attached signature		code	;srl.		item (2)		or		
5	number of strutiny sheets attached signature	(18) hou	code or actual	srl. no.	yds 2 51 to 10	(2) stance (wit' in p	oremises-1; upto 50 o 400 yds 4, over	or actual		
5 6	number of structure (excellent-1; f.ai no major repair-2; bad, dilapid	(18) hou	code or actual	srl. no.	yds 2 51 to 10	(2) stance (wit' in ployds:- 3 101 t	to 400 yds 4, over	or actual		
1. 2	number of structure (excellent-1; f.i. no major repair-2; bad, dilapid vi.ed-3)	(18) hou	code or actual	srl. no.	yds.—2 51 to 10 400 yds. 5) .	(2) Stance (wit' in p 0 yds 3 101 t	:0 400 yds 4, over	or actual		
1. 2	number of structure (excellent-1; f.i. no major repair-2; bad, dilapid vi.ed-3)	(18) hou	code or actual	srl. no.	yds.—2 51 to 10 400 yds. 5) rented-1, not rented if rented, monthly	(2) stance (wit' in poyds 3 101 t d-2 rent(Rs.0 00)	ring the preceding	or actual		
1 2 3	number of structure (excellent-1; f.i. no major repair-2; bad, dilapid vi.ed-3)	(18) hou	code or actual	srl. no.	yds. 2 51 to 10 400 yds. 5) rented-1, not rented if rented, monthly cost of repairs and year (Rs. 0.00)	stance (wit' in ployds 3 101 to d-2	o 400 yds.— 4, over	or actual		
1 2 3	number of structure (excellent-1; f.i. no major repair-2; bad, dilapid vi.ed-3) period built since (years) drinking water: source code + +. + municipal tap: with covered household reservoir	(18) hou	code or actual	srl. no. (1) 4 5 6 7	yds. 2 51 to 10 400 yds. 5) rented-1, not rented if rented, monthly cost of repairs and year (Rs. 0.00) tube-well(hand open protected—with co	(2) stance (wit' in ployds. 3 101 to decemple to dece	ring the preceding	or actual		
1 2 3	number of structure (excettent-1; f.i. no major repair-2; bad, dilapid vised-3) period built since (years) drinking water: source code + + + municipal tap: with covered household reservoir with uncovered household reservoir	(18) hou	code or actual	srl. no. (1) 4	yds2 51 to 10 400 yds. 5) rented-1, not rented if rented, monthly cost of repairs and a year (Rs. 0.00) tube-well(hand open protected—with co- with un-	(2) Stance (within polyder 3 101 to 100 to	ring the preceding	or actual		
1 2 3	number of structure (excellent-1; fair no major repair-2; bad, dilapid vi.ed-3) period built since (years) drinking water : source code + +. + municipal tap: with covered household reservoir with uncovered household reservoir with no household reservoir.	(18) hou	code or actual	srl. no. (1) 4	yds2 51 to 10 400 yds. 5) rented-1, not rented if rented, monthly cost of repairs and a year (Rs. 0.00) tube-well(hand open protected—with co- with un-	(2) stance (wit' in ployds. 3 101 to decemple to dece	ring the preceding	or actual		
5 6 1. 1. 2 3 +	number of structure (excellent-1; f.i. no major repair-2; bad, dilapid vi.ed-3) period built since(years) drinking water : source code + +. + municipal tap : with covered household reservoir with uncovered household reservoir with no household reservoir one-well (power operated) :	tly good and need	code or actual	srl. no. (1) 4	yds2 51 to 10 400 yds. 5) rented-1, not rented if rented, monthly cost of repairs and year (Rs. 0.00) tube-well(hand open protected—with cowith unwith no	(2) Stance (within polyder 3 101 to 100 to	ring the preceding	or actual		
5 6 1 1 2 3 4	number of structure (excellent-1; fai no major repair-2; bad, dilapid vi.ed-3) period built since (years) drinking water: source code + +. + municipal tap: with covered household reservoir with uncovered household reservoir with no household reservoir one-well (power operated): protectedwith covered household in	tly good and needs ated and impro-	code or actual	srl. no. (1) 4	yds2 51 to 10 400 yds. 5) rented-1, not rented if rented, monthly cost of repairs and a year (Rs. 0.00) tube-well(hand ope protected—with co with un with no	(2) Stance (within polyder 3 101 to 100 to	ring the preceding	or actual		
5 6 -1111111111.	number of structure (excellent-1; f.i. no major repair-2; bad, dilapid vi.ed-3) period built since(years) drinking water : source code + +. + municipal tap : with covered household reservoir with uncovered household reservoir with no household reservoir one-well (power operated) :	tly good and needs ated and impro-	code or actual	srl. no. (1) 4	yds2 51 to 10 400 yds. 5) rented-1, not rented if rented, monthly cost of repairs and year (Rs. 0.00) tube-well(hand open protected—with cowith unwith no	(2) stance (within polyds, 3, 101 to decemple of the content of t	ring the preceding	or actual		
5 6 1 1 2 3 4	number of structure (excellent-1; fai no major repair-2; bad, dilapid vi.ed-3) period built since (years) drinking water: source code + +. + municipal tap: with covered household reservoir with uncovered household reservoir with no household reservoir one-well (power operated): protectedwith covered household in	tly good and necus ated and impro-	code or actual	srl. no. (1) 4	yds2 51 to 10 400 yds. 5) rented-1, not rented if rented, monthly cost of repairs and year (Rs. 0.00) tube-well(hand open protected with cowith unwith no unprotected well—protected well—protected	(2) stance (within polyds, 3, 101 to decemple of the content of t	ring the preceding	or actual		
5 6 -1111111111.	number of structure (excettent-1; fair no major repair-2; bad, dilapid vised-3) period built since (years) drinking water: source code + + + municipal tap: with covered household reservoir with uncovered household reservoir with no household reservoir	tly good and necus ated and impro-	code or actual	srl. no. (1) 4	yds2 51 to 10 400 yds. 5) rented-1, not rented if rented, monthly cost of repairs and year (Rs. 0.00) tube-well(hand open protected with component with under the cost of	(2) stance (within polyds, 3, 101 to decemple of the content of t	ring the preceding	or actual		

NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY REPORTS ALREADY PUBLISHED

- No. 1 General Report No. 1 on the First Round (October 1950-March 1951)
 - 2 Tables with Notes on the Second Round (April-June 1951)
 - 3 Tables with Notes on the Third Round (August-November 1951)
 - 4 Special Report on the Survey of Persons in the "Live-Register" of Delhi Employment Exchange (August-September 1951).
 - 5 Technical Paper on Some Aspects of the Development of the Sample Design
 - 6 Survey of Faridabad Township (March-April 1954)
 - 7 Couple Fertility (Second Round: April-June 1951 and Fourth Round: April-September 1952)
 - 8 Report on Preliminary Survey or Urban Unemployment (September 1953)
 - 9 Report on the Sample Survey of Displaced Persons in the Urban Areas of the Bombay State (July-September 1955)
 - 10 First Report on Land Holdings, Rural Sector (Eighth Round: July 1954-March 1955)
 - 11 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1949-50
 - 12 A Technical Note on Age Grouping, October, 1958
 - Report on Household Transport Operations (Seventh Round : October 1953-March 1954)
 - Report on Some Characteristics of the Economically Active Population (Fourth to Seventh Rounds: April 1952-March 1954).
 - 15 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1951
 - 16 Preliminary, Report on Employment and Unemployment (Ninth Round: May-November 1955)
 - 17 Report on Sample Survey of Employment in Calcutta (September 1953)
 - 18 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Fourth Round : April-September 1952)
 - 19 Report on small Scale Manufacture: Household Enterprises smaller than Registered Factories (Seventh Round: October 1953-March 1954)
 - 29 Report on Pattern of Consumer Expenditure (Second to Seventh Rounds: April 1951-March 1954)
 - Household Small Scale Manufacturing Establishments smaller than those covered by Industries (Development & Regulations), Act 1951 (Ninth Round: May-November 1955)
 - 22 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1952
 - 23 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1953
 - 24 Report on Household Retail Trade (Seventh to Ninth Rounds: October 1953-November 1955)
 - 25 Sample Verification of Livestock Census: 1956
 - 26 A Preliminary Report on Housing Condition (Seventh Round : October 1953-March 1954)
 - Tochnical Records of Sample Design, Instructions to Field Workers and List of Sample Villages and Urban Blocks
 (Ninth Round: May-November 1955)
 - 28 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1954(1)
 - Notes on Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey (Tenth Round : December 1955-April 1956)
 - 30 Report on Land Holdings (2): Operational Holdings in Rural India (Eighth Round: July 1954-April 1955)
 - 31 Tables with Notes on Household Transport Operation (Eighth to Tenth Rounds: July 1954-May 1956)
 - 32(I) Some Aspects of Costs of Cultivation of Paddy, Wheat, Jowar and Bajra (Fifth to Seventh Rounds: 1951-52 and 1952-53)
 - 32(II) Some Aspects of Costs of Cultivation of Barley, Maize, Ragi, Gram, Small Millets, Groundnut, Cotton and Jute (Fifth to Seventh Round: 1951-52 & 1952-53)
 - 32(III) Some Aspects of Costs of Cultivation of Minor Cereals, Pulses, Sugarcane, Oil Seeds, Potato, Spices and Tobacco (Fifth to Seventh Rounds: 1951-52 & 1952-53).
 - Tables with Notes on Wages, Employment, Income and Indebtedness of Agricultural Labour Households in Rural
 Areas (Eleventh and Twelfth Rounds: August 1956-August 1957)
 - 34 Tables with Notes on Employment and Unimployment (Tenth Round : December 1955-May 1956)
 - 35 Tables with Notes on Livestock Products (Fifth to Seventh Rounds: April 1952-March 1954)
 - 36 Report on Land Holdings (3): Some Aspects of Ownership Holdings (Eighth Round: July 1954-April 1955)
 - 37 Survey of Book Reader's Preference in India (Thirteenth Round : September 1957-May 1958)
 - 38 Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Thirteenth Round : September 1957-May 1958)
 - 39 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Eighth Round: July 1954-March 1955)
 - 40 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Ninth Round: May-November 1955)
 - 41. Household Retail Trade (Tenth Round: December 1955-May 1956)
 - Report on Small Scale Manufacture: Household Enterprises smaller than Registered Factories (Seventh to Eighth Rounds: October 1953-April 1955)
 - Tables with Notes on Small Scale Manufacture: Household Enterprises smaller than Registered Factories (Ninth and Tenth Rounds: May 1955-May 1956)

- No. 44 A Note on Profession and Services (Fourth to Eighth Rounds: April 1952-April 1955)
 - 45 Report on Indian Villages: A Study of Some Social and Economic Aspects (Tenth to Twelfth Rounds: December 1955-August 1957)
 - Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure of Agricultural Labour Households in Rural Areas (Eleventh and Twelfth Rounds: August 1956-August 1957)
 - 47 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Tenth Round: December 1955-May 1956)
 - 48 Preliminary Estimates of Birth and Death Rates and of the Rate of Growth of Population (Fourteenth Round: July 1958-July 1959)
 - 49 Report on Morbidity (Seventh Round : October 1953-March 1954 and Eleventh to Thirteenth Rounds : August 1956-May 1958)
 - 50 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition (Tenth Round : December 1955-May 1956)
 - 51 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition (Eleventh Round: August 1956-January 1957)
 - Tables with Notes on Employment and Unemployment (Eleventh and Twelfth Rounds: August 1956-August 1957)
 - 53 Tables with Notes on Internal Migration (Ninth, Eleventh, Twelfth and Thirteenth Rounds: May 1955-May 1958)
 - 54 Vital Rates (Seventh Round : October 1953-March 1954)
 - 55 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1954(2): Exploratory Survey of Scheduled Industries
 - 56 Report on Sample Survey Manufacturing Industries, 1955(1): Survey of Scheduled Industries
 - 57 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1955(2)
 - 58 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1956(1): Survey of Scheduled Industries
 - Tables with Notes on Pattern of Hou chold Ownership and Possession of Land in Rural Areas, 1950-51 to 1953-54 (Eighth Round: July 1954-April 1955)
 - Tables with Notes on Farming Condition and Practices in Rural Areas 1953-54 (Eighth Round : July 1954-April 1955)
 - Notes on the Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Eleventh Round : August 1956-February 1957)
 - 62 Supplementary Report on Employment and Unemployment (Ninth Round: May-November 1955)
 - Tables with Notes on Employment and Unemployment in Urban Areas (Thirteenth Round : September 1957-May 1953)
 - 64 Indian Villages: A Study of Some Social and Economic Aspects (Thirteenth Round: September 1957-May 1958)
 - 65 Tables with Notes on Animal Husbandry (Eleventh Round: August 1956-January 1957)
 - 66 Report on Land Holdings (4): Rural Sector, States (Eighth Round: July 1954-April 1955)
 - 67 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition (Twelfth Round: March-August 1957)
 - 68 Tables with Notes on Rural Indebtedness (Eighth Round : July 1954-April 1955)
 - Notes on the Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Twelfth Round: March-August 1957)
 - 70 Technical Paper on Sample Design (Fourteenth Round : July 1958-June 1959)
 - 71 Consumer Expenditure by Levels of Household Expenditure (Thirteenth Round : September 1957-May 1958)
 - 72 Tables with Notes on Milk Production (Twelfth Round: March-August 1957)
 - 73 Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Fourteenth Round: July 1958-June 1959)
 - Report on Land Holdings (5), Rural Sector (Some Aspects of Operational Holdings—Population Zones and All India) (Eighth Round: July 1954-April 1955)
 - 75 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1956(2)
 - 76 Fertility and Mortality Rates in Rural India (Fourteenth Round: July 1958-June 1959)
 - 77 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Eleventh Round: August 1956-February 1957)
 - 78 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Twelfth Round: March-August 1957)
 - 79 Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Fifteenth Round : July 1959-June 1960)
 - 80 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Thirteenth Round: September 1957-May 1958)
 - 81 Report on Land Holdings: Urban Sector (Eighth Round: July 1954—April 1955)
 - Tables with Notes on Some Aspects of Cost of Cultivation of Paddy, Wheat, Barley, Maize, Millets, Pulses, Oil Seeds and Vegetables, Urban India (Fifth to Seventh Rounds, 1951—1953)
 - 83 Report on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1957(1)
 - Tables with Notes on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries: Survey of Scheduled Industries, 1957 (3)
 - Tables with Notes on Employment and Unemployment in Urban Areas (Fourteenth Round, : July : 1958-June 1959)
 - 86 Tables with Notes on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1957(2)
 - Tables with Notes on Average Budget of Agricultural Labour Households in Rural Areas (Eleventh and Twelfth Rounds: August 1956-August 1957)
 - Tables with Notes on Household Receipts and Disbursements in Rural & Urban Areas (Fourteenth Round: July 1953-June 1959)
 - Tables with Notes on Dwelling Habits of Occupants of the Two-room Government Residences in New Delhi, July
 - 70 Tables with Notes on Survey of Scheduled Industries, 1958: Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries
 - 71 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1959: Sample Sector; Summary Results
 - 92 Some Results of Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Sixteenth Round: July 1960-June 1961)

- No. 93 Tables with Notes on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries 1958, Factory Establishments: Summary Results.
 - Tables with Notes on Small Scale Manufacture: Rural and Urban Household enterprises smaller than the registered factories (Fourteenth Round: July 1958-June 1959)
 - 95 Tables with Notes on Household Indebtedness (Sixteenth Round : July 1960-June 1961)
 - 96 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1960; Sample Sector, Summary Results
 - 97 Tables with Notes on Capital Formation (Fifteenth Round : Rural : July 1959-June 1960)
 - 98 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Preliminary) (Fifteenth Round: July 1959-June 1960)
 - 99 Tables with Notes on Household Non-Registered Trade (Fifteenth Round : July 1959-June 1960)
 - 100 Tables with Notes on Rural Employment and Unemployment (Fourteenth Round : July 1958-June 1959)
 - 101 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Preliminary) (Sixteenth Round: July 1960-June 1961)
 - 102 Table; with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Fourteenth Round : July 1958-June 1959)
 - 103 Table; with Notes on Urban Labour Force (Sixteenth Round: July 1960-June 1961)
 - 104 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Fifteenth Round : July 1959-June 1960)
 - Tables with Notes on Household Non-Mechanised Transport and Utilisation of Working Animals (Fifteenth Round: July 1959-June 1960)
 - Tables with Notes on Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Seventeenth Round: July 1961-June 1962)
 - 107 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Eleventh and Twelfth Round: August 1956-August 1957)
 - 108 Report on the Type Study on Consumption and Disposal of Cereals and Capital Formation by Households:
 - 109 Tables with Notes on Indian Villages (Fourteenth Round : July 1958-June 1959)
 - Table; with Notes on Rates of Birth, Death and Growth of Rural Population (Fifteenth Round: July 1959-June 1960)
 - 111 Tables with Notes on Sample Survey of Manufacturing Industries, 1958: Factory Establishments: Detailed Results
 - 112 Tables with Notes on Land Utilisation and Crop-cutting Experiments (Eighteenth Round : July 1962-June 1963)
 - 113 Tables with Notes on Agricultural Holdings in Rural India (Sixteenth Round : July 1960-June 1961)
 - Tables with Notes on Employment and Unemployment in Rural Areas (Sixteenth Round : July 1963-June 1961)
 - Tables with Notes on disposal of cereals by producer households in rural areas (Fifteenth Round : July 1959-June 1960)
 - 116 Tables with Notes on Family Planning (Sixteenth Round : July 1960-June 1961)
 - 117 Tables with Notes on the Enquiry of Physically Handicapped Persons (Sixteenth Round : July 1960-August 1961)
 - 118 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1961: Sample Sector (Summary Results)
 - 119 Special Study on Morbidity (Sixteenth Round: November 1960-October 1961)
 - 123 Tables with Notes on Annual Survey of Industries 1962, Sample Sector, Summary Results
 - Preliminary estimates of birth and death rate and of the rates of growth of population (Eighteenth Round : Feb. 1963-Jan. 1964)
 - Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1959, Sample Sector (Detailed Results)
 - 123 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1963, Sample Sector (Summary Results)
 - Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Eighteenth Round : July 1963 June 1964)
 - 125 Technical Paper on Sample Design (Nineteenth Round : July 1964-June 1965)
 - Tables with Notes on Internal Migration (Fourteenth Round: July 1958-June 1959 and Fifteenth Round: July 1959-June 1960)
 - 127 Tables with Notes on Urban Labour Force (Seventeenth Round : September 1961-July 1962)
 - Tables with Notes on Internal Migration (Rural) (Fourteenth Round : July 1958-June 1959)
 - Report on Pilot Enquiry on Morbidity (Seventeenth Round: September 1961-July 1962)
 - 130 Tables with Notes on Professions and Liberal Arts (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
 - 131 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1960, Sample Sector (Detailed Results)
 - 132 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1964, Sample Sector (Summary Results)
 - Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Nineteenth Round: July 1964-June 1965)
 - 134 Tables with Notes on Income of Rural Labour Households (Eighteenth Round : February 1963-January 1964)
 - Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Preliminary) (Seventeenth Round : September 1961-July 1962)
 - 136 Tables with Notes on Capital Formation (Urban) (Seventeenth Round : September 1961-July 1962)
 - 137 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition (Sixteenth Round: July 1960-August 1961)
 - 138 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Sixteenth Round : July 1960-August 1961)
 - 139 Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1961, Sample Sector (Detailed Results)
 - 140 Tables with Notes on Some Aspects of Agriculture in India (Rural) (Eleventh Round: August 1956-February 1957)
 - Tables with Notes on Household Consumption of Fuel and Light (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
 - 142 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Preliminary) (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
 - Tables with Notes on Indebtedness of Scheduled Tribe Households (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)

- No. 144 Tables with Notes on Some Aspects of Land Holdings in Rural Areas (State and All-India Estimates) (Seventeenth Round: September 1961-July 1962)
 - 145 Tables with Notes on Income of Scheduled Tribe Households (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1965)
 - 146 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition (Fifteenth Round: July 1959-June 1960)
 - Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments (Twentieth Round: July 1965-June 1966)
 - 148 Report on Special Survey on Household Entreprenurial Activities in Aligarh Town, 1959-60
 - 149 Report on Pilot Study on Non-Household Enterprises (Nineteenth Round: February 1965-June 1965)
 - 150 Tables with Notes on Housing Condition (Seventeenth Round: September 1961-July 1962)
 - 151 Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Some Selected Items) (Eighteenth Round: February-June 1964)
 - 152 Tables with Notes on Urban Labour Force (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
 - 153* Differential Birth, Death and Infant Mortality Rates in Rural Households (Fifteenth Round: July 1959-July 1960)
 - 154* Tables with Notes on Couple Fertility (Seventeenth Round: September 1961-July 1962)
 - 155* Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure (Some Important Items) (Eighteenth Round: February 1963-January 1964)
 - 156 Tables with Notes on Rural Employment and Unemployment (Fifteenth Round : July 1959-June 1960)
 - 157 Tables with Notes on Urban Employment and Unemployment (Fifteenth Round : July 1959-June 1960)
 - 158* Tables with Notes on Consumer Expenditure of Scheduled Tribe Households (Eighteenth Round: June 1963-May 1964)
 - 159* Tables with Notes on Some Aspects of Land Holdings in Rural India (Sixteenth Round : July 1960-June 1961)
 - 160* Some Results of the Land Utilisation Survey and Crop-cutting Experiments, (Twentyfirst Round : July 1966-June 1967)
 - 161* Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1965, Sample Sector: Summary Results
 - 162* Tables with Notes on Some Results of Land Holdings in Rural Areas (Seventeenth Round: September 1961-July 1962)
 - 163* Tables with Notes on Urban Labour Force (Nineteenth Round: July 1964—June 1965)
 - 164* Tables with Notes on Annual Survey of Industries, 1962, Sample Sector: Detailed Results
 - 165* Tables with Notes on Some Aspects of Land Holdings in Urban Areas (Seventeenth Round : September 1961-July 1962)
 - 166* Tables with Notes on Urban Labour Force (Twentieth Round : July 1965-June 1966)
 - 167* Tables with Notes on Villages and Towns in India: Some Results (Nineteenth Round: July 1964-June 1965)
 - 168* Tables with Notes on the Annual Survey of Industries, 1963, Sample Sector, Detailed Results
 - Tables with Notes on Housing Conditions of Scheduled Tribe Household of Union Territories of Manipur & Tripura (Eighteenth Round: June 1963-May 1964)

Note.—Report Nos. marked * are under print.